

CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARIES

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARIES

MAPPING THE BOUNDARIES

ONE IN A MILLION ONE IN A MILLION

FAKULTÉ SAVING DAI TEHNOLOGI
UNIVERSITATI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

2007

COMPARISON OF VEGETATION INDICES (INTRINSIC BASED
VEGETATION INDEX) FOR MANGROVE VEGETATION
MAPPING AT KELANTAN DELTA

CHE KU AKMAR BINTI CHE KU OTHMAN

FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
2007

1100051198

**COMPARISON OF VEGETATION INDICES (INTRINSIC BASED
VEGETATION INDEX) FOR MANGROVE VEGETATION
MAPPING AT KELANTAN DELTA**

By

Che Ku Akmar Binti Che Ku Othman

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirement for the degree of
Bachelor of Applied Science (Biodiversity Conservation and Management)

Department of Biological Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
2007

This project should be cited as:

Che Ku Akmar, C.K.O. 2007. Comparison of Vegetation Indices (Intrinsic Based Vegetation Index) for Mangrove Vegetation Mapping at Kelantan Delta. Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Applied Science in Biodiversity Conservation and Management, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu , Terengganu. 80p.

No part of this project report may be produced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor(s) of the project.



JABATAN SAINS BIOLOGI
FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II
RESEARCH REPORT VERIFICATION

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk: COMPARISON OF VEGETATION INDICES (INTRINSIC BASED VEGETATION INDEX) FOR MANGROVE VEGETATION MAPPING AT KELANTAN DELTA oleh Che Ku Akmar bt. Che Ku Othman, no. matrik: UK 10457 telah diperiksa dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan kepada Jabatan Sains Biologi sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan memperolehi Ijazah Sarjana Muda Sains Gunaan (Pemuliharaan & Pengurusan Biodiversiti), Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Disahkan oleh: / Verified by:

Penyelia Utama / Main Supervisor
KASAWANI IBRAHIM
Nama: Pensyarah
Cop Rasmi: Jabatan Sains Biologi
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 29/4/07

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada) / Co-Supervisor (if applicable)
MOHD SUFFIAN IDRIS
Nama: Pensyarah
Cop Rasmi: Institut Oseanografi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT)
21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu

Tarikh: 29/4/07

Ketua Jabatan Sains Biologi / Head, Department of Biological Sciences

Nama: **DR. AZIZ BIN AHMAD**
Nama: Ketua
Cop Rasmi: Jabatan Sains Biologi
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Tarikh: 30/4/07

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

With the name of the ALLAH S.W.T, I gratefully thanks for his bless and permission on me to finish my study become successfully. Here with this opportunity, I would like to acknowledge to all those involved in this work study, whether individually, directly or indirectly.

First of all, thank a lot to my supervisor, Mr. Kasawani Ibrahim and co-supervisor, Mr. Mohd Suffian Idris for their guidance, advices and encouragement on me during the implementation for my final project. I also thank both of them for their patience in supervised me without any sigh although there were many problems occurs during this project was conducted for two semesters.

My big thanks to Mr. Muhamad Razali Salam, Mr. Abdul Habir Alias, Mr. Nasir, Mr. Azri and Mrs. Nora for their helps before and after sampling process. Not forgettable thanks also to Mr. Karthik for helping me on this study. Also thank to MACRES and State Forestry Department of Kelantan for their cooperation and providing the facilities during this study.

I also like to thank my parent, Mrs. Fuziah binti Ismail for her support either in moral support or financial for me throughout this study. Without her support, I will lose of my spirit to move on this study. I really appreciated for their sacrifices on me and I always pray to her for ALLAH bless.

Lastly, thank to all my friends, especially to Norsaliza Usali and Roziatul Zaila Bukhari @ Bukari for their support, to UMT and other who also involved in this study directly or indirectly, thanks a lot.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	i
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vii
LIST OF APPENDICES	viii
ABSTRACT	ix
ABSTRAK	x
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Objective of Study	2
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Mangrove Vegetation	3
2.2 Remote Sensing and Reflectance of Vegetation	5
2.2.1 Effectiveness of Satellite Imagery	5
2.2.2 Reflectance Characteristic of Vegetation	7
2.3 Vegetation Index	10
2.4 Application of Vegetation Indices for Forest Types	14
CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Study Area	17
3.2 General Methodology	18
3.3 Satellite Imagery	19
3.4 Image Processing Techniques	19
3.4.1 Geometric Correction	19
3.4.2 Unsupervised Classification	19
3.4.3 Field Investigation	20
3.4.4 Vegetation Index Approach	20
3.4.5 VI Analysis	23

3.5	Vegetation Index (VI) Map	23
3.6	Accuracy Assessment	24

CHAPTER 4 RESULTS

4.1	Kelantan Delta Land Cover Types	25
4.1.1	<i>Acanthus-Sonneratia</i> class	27
4.1.2	<i>Avicennia</i> class	28
4.1.3	<i>Avicennia-Sonneratia</i> class	29
4.1.4	Mixed <i>Sonneratia</i> class	30
4.1.5	Mixed <i>Acrostichum</i> class	31
4.2	Analysis of Vegetation Index	32
4.2.1	Index Ranges	32
4.2.2	Vegetation Indices Analysis Image	32
4.3	Unsupervised Classification	38
4.3.1	Unsupervised Classification Using Raw Image	38
4.3.2	Unsupervised Classification for VIs	38
4.4	Accuracy Assessment	44
4.4.1	The Classification Accuracy of DVI	44
4.4.2	The Classification Accuracy of IPVI	46
4.4.3	The Classification Accuracy of NDVI	47
4.4.4	The Classification Accuracy of RVI	48
4.4.5	The Overall Accuracy	50

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSIONS

5.1	Mangrove Distribution	51
5.2	Mangrove Classification for Vegetation Indices	53
5.3	Performance of Vegetation Indices	54
5.4	Accuracy of Vegetation Indices	61

CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION

6.1	Conclusion	65
6.2	Recommendations and Future Work	67

REFERENCES	68
APPENDICES	77
CURRICULUM VITAE	80

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Principal application for vegetation of the Landsat TM	10
4.0 Species of mangrove at Kelantan Delta	25
4.1 The area (ha) for each class of mangrove type at Kelantan Delta	26
4.2 The means and ranges reflectance of mangrove for each class at Kelantan Delta	33
4.3 The error matrix of DVI, the column as producers accuracy and the row as users accuracy	45
4.4 The producers and users accuracy for each class in DVI	45
4.5 The error matrix of IPVI, the column as producers accuracy and the row as users accuracy	46
4.6 The producers and users accuracy for each class in IPVI	47
4.7 The error matrix of NDVI, the column as produces accuracy and the row as users accuracy	47
4.8 The producers and users accuracy for each class in NDVI	48
4.9 The error matrix of RVI, the column as producers accuracy and the row as users accuracy	49
4.10 The producers and users accuracy for each class in RVI	49
4.11 The overall accuracy, kappa and number of classes for four different indices and one unsupervised image	50

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1 The behavior of the reflectance of different cover types	9	
3.0 Topographic map of Kelantan Delta, Tumpat (1:50 000)	17	
3.1 Flowchart of methodology	18	
3.2 Example of The schematic diagram using Model Maker in ERDAS.	21	
4.0 The <i>Acanthus-Sonneratia</i> class at Kelantan Delta	27	
4.1 The <i>Avicennia</i> class at Kelantan Delta	28	
4.2 The <i>Avicennia-Sonneratia</i> class at Kelantan Delta	29	
4.3 The Mixed <i>Sonneratia</i> class at Kelantan Delta	30	
4.4 The Mixed <i>Acrostichum</i> class at Kelantan Delta	31	
4.5 The gray-scale image of DVI	34	
4.6 The gray-scale image of IPVI	35	
4.7 The gray-scale image of NDVI	36	
4.8 The gray-scale image of RVI	37	
4.9 The unsupervised image of study area	39	
4.10 The DVI image after recode	40	
4.11 The IPVI image after recode	41	
4.12 The NDVI image after recode	42	
4.13 The RVI image after recode	43	
5.0 Percentage of distribution area of mangrove classes at Kelantan Delta	52	
5.1 Spectral reflectance of band 3 (red) and band 4 (NIR) for mangrove forest types at Kelantan Delta	55	
5.2 Comparison of mean value and standard deviation of the DVI	56	
5.3 Comparison of mean value and standard deviation of the IPVI	56	
5.4 Comparison of mean value and standard deviation of the NDVI	57	
5.5 Comparison of mean value and standard deviation of the NDVI	57	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARVI	-	Atmospheric Resistant Vegetation Index
AVHRR	-	Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer
DN	-	Digital Number
DVI	-	Different Vegetation Index
ERDAS	-	Earth Resources Data Analysis System
GEMI	-	Global Environment Vegetation Index
GIS	-	Geographic Information System
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
HRV	-	High Resolution Visible
IPVI	-	Infrared Percentage Vegetation Index
LAI	-	Leaf Area Index
m	-	meter
MACRES	-	Malaysia Centre for Remote Sensing
MIR	-	Middle Infrared
MSAVI	-	Modified Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index
NDVI	-	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index
NIR	-	Near-Infrared
nm	-	nano meter
NOAA	-	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administrative
OSAVI	-	Optimized Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index
R	-	red
RFVI	-	Ratio Vegetation Index
SPOT	-	System Pour l'Observation de la Terre
spp.	-	species
SR	-	Simple Ratio
TIR	-	Thermal Infrared
TM	-	Thematic Mapper
TSAVI	-	Transformed Soil-Adjusted Vegetation Index
VI	-	Vegetation Index
VIs	-	Vegetation Indices
VIS	-	Visible
2-D	-	2-Dimensi

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
1	The geocoded image Landsat TM 2000 of Kelantan	77
2	The accuracy assessment and kappa statistic of Unsupervised Classification	78
3	The general scenes of Kelantan Delta	79

ABSTRACT

The vegetation index (VI) had been used for monitoring and mapping the mangrove vegetation by estimate their parameter. The study was conducted by using the Landsat image Thematic Mapper (TM) 2000 at Kelantan Delta. Intrinsic indices such as Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Infrared Percentage Vegetation Index (IPVI), Different Vegetation Index (DVI) and Ratio Vegetation Index (RVI) are a simplest and the most widely used index in remote sensing of vegetation and have been applied in this study. Five of mangrove classes have been classified by conventional unsupervised classification with accuracy 72.67%. There are *Avicennia*, *Avicennia-Sonneratia*, *Acanthus-Sonneratia*, Mixed *Sonneratia* and Mixed *Acrostichum*. From the unsupervised classification by vegetation indices (VIs) the highest accuracy was obtained by NDVI which 74.44% followed by IPVI (72.22%), DVI and RVI both were 69.17%. Each VIs can differentiate the mangrove classes based on their reflectance characteristic. RVI had been determined four mangrove classes if compare to NDVI, DVI and IPVI which only can discriminate only three classes. All indices found performed higher in accuracy percentage, but worst to discriminate mangrove classes because of some factors such as saturated problem to identify mangrove classes, sensitivity of soil background reflectance, affected by additive atmospheric (path radiance) and high moisture content.

**PERBANDINGAN INDEK-INDEK TUMBUHAN (INDEK ASAS
TUMBUHAN) TERHADAP PEMETAAN PAYA BAKAU
DI DELTA KELANTAN.**

ABSTRAK

Indek tumbuhan telah digunakan untuk mengawasi dan pemetaan kawasan paya bakau dengan penyukatan parameter pokok tersebut. Kajian ini telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan imej Landsat TM 2000 di Delta Kelantan. Indek asas tumbuhan seperti NDVI, IPVI, DVI dan RVI ialah indek mudah dan digunakan meluas dalam penderiaan jarak jauh bagi tumbuhan dan digunakan untuk kawasan kajian ini. Lima kelas paya bakau telah berhasil dikelaskan bagi pengkelas tanpa berpenyelia dengan ketepatan 72.67%. Ia termasuklah *Avicennia*, *Avicennia-Sonneratia*, *Acanthus-Sonneratia*, ‘Mixed’ *Sonneratia* dan ‘Mixed’ *Acrostichum*. Daripada pengkelas tanpa berpenyelia untuk indek-indek tumbuhan, ketepatan kelas paling tinggi dirumuskan oleh NDVI dengan 74.44%, diikuti oleh IPVI (72.22%) dan DVI serta RVI masing-masing mencatatkan 69.17%. Setiap indek dapat membezakan kelas paya bakau berdasarkan ciri-ciri pantulannya. RVI telah dapat dipisahkan dengan empat kelas paya bakau jika dibandingkan dengan NDVI, DVI dan IPVI yang hanya dapat memisahkan tiga kelas. Semua indeks menunjukkan penilaian ketepatan kelas adalah tinggi, walaubagaimanapun, indek-indek ini lemah untuk membezakan kelas paya bakau disebabkan oleh faktor seperti masalah kepadatan untuk identifikasi kelas tumbuhan, sensitif terhadap pantulan tanah, kesan penambahan awan (sinaran pendek) dan pengaruh kandungan kelembapannya.