

ADAPTATION OF SPECIES IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF
SEEDS IN THE GENUS *P. MIMOSA*

ADAPTATION OF SPECIES IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF
SEEDS IN THE GENUS *P. MIMOSA*

INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

2006

1100042407

LP 22 FST 2 2006



1100042407

Harpacticoid species in different types of seaweeds in Pulau Besar, Malacca / Nazia Abdul Kadar.



PERPUSTAKAAN
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS & TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

1100042407

1100042407		

Lihat sebelah

HAK MILIK
PERPUSTAKAAN KUSTEM

**HARPACTICOID SPECIES IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SEAWEEDS IN PULAU
BESAR, MALACCA.**

By

Nazia Binti Abdul Kadar

**Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science (Marine Biology)**

**Department of Marine Science
Faculty of Science and Technology
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
2006**

1100042407

This project should be cited as :

Nazia, A.K., 2006. Harpacticoid species in different types of seaweeds in Pulau Besar, Malacca. Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Science in Marine Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia, Terengganu. 130p.

No part of the project may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor of this project.



JABATAN SAINS SAMUDERA
FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

**PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN 1 DAN 11**

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk :
“HARPACTICOID SPECIES IN DIFFERENT TYPES OF SEAWEEDS IN PULAU
BESAR, MALACCA.” oleh NAZIA BINTI ABDUL KADAR No. Matrik UK 7774
telah diperiksa dan semua pembedaan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini
dikemukakan kepada Jabatan Sains Samudera sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada
keperluan memperoleh Ijazah SARJANA MUDA SAINS BIOLOGI MARIN Fakulti
Sains dan Teknologi, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia.

Disahkan oleh:


.....
Penyelia Utama

Dr. Zaleha Binti Kassim,
Pensyarah
Jabatan Sains Samudera
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Nama:

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh:.....*29/04/06*.....

.....
Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

Nama:

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh:.....

.....
Ketua Jabatan Sains Samudera

Nama:

Cop rasmi:

Tarikh:.....

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would like to thank Allah s.w.t, for His blessing of good health, physically and mentally to accomplish this project. I would like to take this opportunity to give my sincere thanks to my beloved supervisor, Dr. Zaleha Kassim for her advice, continuous guidance, comments and unlimited support that pushed me successfully finish this study.

I also want to thank Dr. Siti Aishah Binti Abdullah, Dr. Hing Lee Siang, Prof. Chan, Assoc. Prof. Liew Hock Chark, Mr. Zainudin Bachok and Mr. Choo Chee Kuang for their comments and recommendations regarding this project. My thanks also go to all the lab assistants En. Che Mohd. Zan Husin, En. Abd. Jalal Wahid and Pn. Kartini Mohammad and individuals that helped me directly or indirectly whenever I faced difficulties doing my lab work.

Special thanks to my friends, Ros, Bijan, Syam, K.E, Kak Huda, Gan, Thiru, Puff, Kams, Madu and all my coursemates that gave me a helping hand and cherish my life during my life in KUSTEM. Finally yet importantly, I would like to thank my beloved family, mum, dad and bro for giving me countless love, care, and support whenever I need a place to lean on. My special thanks goes to Thoba, Thoma, and Calima, my grandparents, who always pray the best for me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content		Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		i
TABLE OF CONTENTS		ii
LIST OF FIGURES		iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		vii
LIST OF APPENDICES		viii
ABSTRACT		x
ABSTRAK		xii
GLOSSARY		xiv
Chapter		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Objective	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Taxonomy of harpacticoid copepods.	5
	2.2 Importance of harpacticoid copepods.	6
	2.3 Relationship between epiphytes and seaweeds.	6
	2.4 Meiobenthos in the sediment.	7
	2.5 Status of studies regarding harpacticoid copepods on seaweeds.	8
3	METHODOLOGY	
	3.1 Selection of sampling site	10
	3.2 Sampling the environmental parameter	12
	3.3 Field collection and processing	12
	3.3.1 Seaweed	
	3.3.2 Sediment	
	3.4 Laboratory Processing	13
	3.4.1 Seaweed	
	3.4.2 Sediment	
	3.4.3 Counting the meiobenthos	
	3.4.4 Dissection of harpacticoid copepods	
	3.5 Data analysis.	15

4	RESULT	
	4.1 Identified harpacticoid copepods.	18
	4.2 Composition of harpacticoid species in different seaweeds.	80
	4.3 Composition of harpacticoid species in sediment adjacent to different seaweeds.	84
	4.4 Comparison of density of harpacticoid copepods between seaweed and sediment.	87
	4.5 Contribution of harpacticoid copepods to total meiofauna.	88
	4.6 Contribution of harpacticoid copepods to total meiobenthos.	90
	4.7. Density of harpacticoid copepods.	92
	4.8 Distribution of meiofauna and meiobenthic harpacticoid copepods.	93
5	DISCUSSION	
	5.1 Taxonomy of harpacticoid copepods.	96
	5.2 Harpacticoid copepods from seaweed and sediment.	97
	5.3 Distribution of harpacticoid species.	99
	5.4 Meiofauna and meiobenthos found.	99
6	CONCLUSION	101
7	RECOMMENDATIONS	102
	REFERENCES	103
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A	109
	Appendix B	121
	Appendix C	125
	CURRICULUM VITAE	130

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Location of Pulau Besar, Malacca.	11
4.1.1	<i>Amphiascopsis coralicola</i> . A: Whole body, B: Leg 1, C: Leg 2, D : Leg 5	19
4.1.2	<i>Amphiascopsis thalestroides</i> . A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg three. F: Leg 4	21
4.1.3	<i>Amphiascus cinctus</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 5. C: Leg 1	23
4.1.4	<i>Amphiascus robinsoni</i> . A : Whole body. B: Antenna. C: Leg 5. D : Leg 1.	25
4.1.5	<i>Amphiascus rebus</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 2. D: Leg 3. E: Leg 4. F: Leg 5. G: Caudal rami.	27
4.1.6	<i>Robertgurneya diversa</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C:Leg 2. D: Leg 5.	30
4.1.7	<i>Robertgurneya oligochaeta</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 2. D: Leg 3. E:Leg 4. F: Leg 5. G:Caudal rami.	32
4.1.8	<i>Eudactylopus andrewi</i> . A: Whole body. B: leg 1. C: Leg 5. D: Caudal rami.	35
4.1.9	<i>Eudactylopus fasciatus</i> . A: Whole body. B: leg 1. C&D: Leg 5.	37
4.1.10	<i>Diarthrodes tetrastachyus</i> . A: Whole body. B: head region. C:leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F:Leg 4. G:Leg 5. H: Caudal rami.	39
4.1.11	<i>Phyllothalestris mysis</i> . A: Whole body. B: head region. C: leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F:Leg 4. G:Leg 5. H: maxilliped	42

4.1.12	<i>Idomene</i> sp. A: Whole body. B: head region. C: leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 5. F: Caudal rami.	45
4.1.13	<i>Nitocra typica</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Caudal rami. D: Leg 5.	47
4.1.14	<i>Nitocra spinipes armata</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 5.	49
4.1.15	<i>Nitocra</i> sp. A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 2. D: Leg 5.	51
4.1.16	<i>Parastenhelia littoralis</i> , female. A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F: leg 4 endopod G: Leg 4 exopod. H: Leg 5 exopod. I: Leg 5 baseopod.	53
4.1.17	<i>Paralaophonte brevis</i> . A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F: Leg 4. G: leg 5. H: Caudal rami.	56
4.1.18	<i>Heterolaophonte longifurcata</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 5. C: Leg 1. D: Maxilliped. E: Leg 4 and leg 5. F: Caudal rami.	59
4.1.19	<i>Harpacticus uniremis</i> . A: Whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 5.	61
4.1.20	<i>Harpacticus spinulosus</i> . A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Maxilliped. D: Leg 2. E: leg 3. F: Leg 4. G: Caudal rami. H: Leg 5. I: Leg 1.	63
4.1.21	<i>Ectinosoma melaniceps</i> . A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F: leg 4. G: Leg 5. H: Caudal rami.	66
4.1.22	<i>Longipedia weberi</i> . A: Whole length. B: Leg 1. C: Head region. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F: Leg 4. G: Leg 5. H: Caudal rami.	69
4.1.23	<i>Porcellidium fimbriatum</i> . Male. A: whole body. B: Leg 1. C: Leg 5 and caudal rami. D: Antennule. Female. E: Leg 5. F: Leg 4 and caudal rami.	72
4.1.24	<i>Lourinia armata</i> . Female. A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 2. D: Leg 3. E: Leg 4. F: Leg 5. G: Caudal rami. H: Leg 1.	75
4.1.25	<i>Metis jusseaumei</i> . A: Whole body. B: Head region. C: Leg 1. D: Leg 2. E: Leg 3. F: Leg 4. G: Caudal rami.	78

4.21	Composition of harpacticoid species in <i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	80
4.22	Composition of harpacticoid species in <i>Padina</i> sp.	81
4.23	Composition of harpacticoid species in <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	82
4.24	Composition of harpacticoid species in <i>Gracilaria salicornia</i> .	83
4.31	Composition of harpacticoid species in sediment adjacent to <i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	85
4.32	Composition of harpacticoid species in sediment adjacent to <i>Padina</i> sp.	85
4.33	Composition of harpacticoid species in sediment adjacent to <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	86
4.41	Comparison of density of harpacticoid copepods between seaweed and sediment.	87
4.51	Dominance (%) of harpacticoid copepods and other meiofauna in different seaweed species.	89
4.61.	Dominance (%) of harpacticoid copepods and other meiobenthos in sediment adjacent to different seaweed species.	91
4.71	Density of harpacticoid copepods in each seaweed species.	92
4.81	MDS ordination of the replicates based on Bray-Curtis similarities (Stress=0.15).	93
4.82	Shannon-Wiener Diversity (H'), Pielou Evenness (J') and Species Richness (d) of harpacticoid copepod in different seaweed species.	94
4.83	Shannon-Wiener Diversity (H'), Pielou Evenness (J') and Species Richness (d) of harpacticoid copepod in sediment adjacent to different seaweed species.	95

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / SYMBOLS

aw	: <i>Amphiroa</i> weed
as	: <i>Amphiroa</i> sediment
cm	: Centimeter
g	: gram
gw	: <i>Gracilaria</i> weed
pw	: <i>Padina</i> weed
P.1-P.4	: Periopod 1 – Periopod 4
uw	: <i>Ulva</i> weed
us	: <i>Ulva</i> sediment
sp.	: Species
±	: Standard deviation
%	: Percentage
um	: Micrometer
mm	: Millimeter
ml	: Milliliter
<	: Less than
>	: More than
°	: Degree

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A1	Environmental parameters at the sampling sites.	109
A2	Harpacticoid species and dominant taxa from all the replicates.	109
A3	Percentage of harpacticoid species in <i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	111
A4	Percentage of harpacticoid species in <i>Padina</i> sp.	111
A5	Percentage of harpacticoid species in <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	111
A6	Percentage of harpacticoid species in <i>Gracilaria salicornia</i> .	112
A7	Harpacticoid species in sediment of <i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	112
A8	Harpacticoid species in sediment of <i>Padina</i> sp.	112
A9	Harpacticoid species in sediment of <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	113
A10	Abundance (ind./g algal dry weight) of meiofauna in <i>Ulva reticulata</i>	113
A11	Abundance (ind./g algal dry weight) of meiofauna in <i>Padina</i> sp.	113
A12	Abundance (ind./g algal dry weight) of meiofauna in <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	114
A13	Abundance (ind./g algal dry weight) of meiofauna in <i>Gracilaria salicornia</i> .	114
A14	Abundance (ind./cm ²) of meiobenthos in sediment of <i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	114
A15	Abundance (ind./cm ²) of meiobenthos in sediment of <i>Padina</i> sp.	115

A16	Abundance (ind./cm ²) of meiobenthos in sediment of <i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	115
A17	Bray-Curtis Similarity Matrix.	116
A18	Univariate analysis output.	118
A19	Occurrence of harpacticoid species in seaweeds and sediments.	119
B1	<i>Ulva reticulata</i> .	121
B2	<i>Padina</i> sp.	121
B3	<i>Amphiroa fragilissima</i> .	122
B4	<i>Gracilaria salicornia</i> .	122
B5	Two-way crossed ANOSIM	123
B6	Two-way crossed ANOSIM	123
B7	Dendrogram for Hierarchical Clustering	124
C	Primer Output	125

ABSTRACT

Two samplings were done in Pulau Besar, Malacca in July and September, 2005. Four different seaweed species, which were *Ulva reticulata*, *Padina* sp., *Amphiroa fragilissima* and *Gracilaria salicornia* were collected from tide pool during low tide. Sediment adjacent to these seaweeds was also taken. 25 harpacticoid species from 11 families and 16 Genera were identified. Five families were related to vegetated area, which were Thalestridae, Diosaccidae, Harpacticidae, Porcellidiidae, and Metidae. Other families were Laophontidae, Parastenhellidae, Longipediidae, Louriniidae, Ameiridae, and Ectinosomatidae. The family Diosaccidae was the most important family on the seaweeds and sediments. *Harpacticus uniremis*, *Diarthrodes tetrastachyus*, *Phyllothalestris mysis* and *Porcellidium fimbriatum* showed preference to seaweeds while *Robertgurneya diversa* and *Robertgurneya oligochaeta* preferred sediment. *Lourinia armata*, *Harpacticus spinulosus* and *Metis jusseaumei* were found in both seaweed and sediment. Phytoplankton harpacticoid species was larger while benthic species was in fusiform shape. Harpacticoid copepods were the dominant taxa in the seaweed and the sediment. The density of harpacticoid copepods was the highest in *Padina* sp., which was 449.07 ± 268.374 individual per gram algal dry weight. The lowest density was found in *Ulva reticulata*, which was 9.43 ± 4.58 individual per gram algal dry weight. Two-way crossed ANOSIM showed that harpacticoids were significantly different ($P < 0.001$) when compared between each seaweed species. Based on ANOSIM, comparison between

seaweed and sediment also showed that harpacticoids was significantly different ($P < 0.002$) from both substrate.

ABSTRAK

Sebanyak dua sampling telah dijalankan di Pulau Besar, Melaka, iaitu pada bulan Julai dan September tahun 2005. Empat spesies rumpai laut telah dikutip dari lopak air di pantai berbatu iaitu *Ulva reticulata*, *Padina* sp., *Amphiroa fragilissima* dan *Gracilaria salicornia* ketika air surut. Sebanyak 25 spesis harpaktikoid dari 11 famili dan 16 Genera telah dikenalpasti. Lima famili dikenali sebagai famili yang berasosiasi rapat dengan rumpai laut iaitu Thalestridae, Diosaccidae, Harpacticidae, Porcellidiidae, dan Metidae. Family lain pula ialah Laophontidae, Parastenhellidae, Longipediidae, Lauriniidae, Ameiridae, dan Ectinosomatidae. Famili Diosaccidae merupakan famili yang penting kerana banyak dijumpai pada rumpai laut dan juga sedimen yang berhampiran. *Harpacticus uniremis*, *Diarthrodes tetrastachyus*, *Phyllothalestris mysis* dan *Porcellidium fimbriatum* berasosiasi rapat dengan rumpai laut manakala *Robertgurneya diversa* dan *Robertgurneya oligochaeta* hanya dijumpai di sedimen. *Lourinia armata*, *Harpacticus spinulosus* dan *Metis jusseaumei* dijumpai di rumpai laut dan sediment. Harpaktikoid di kawasan tumbuhan lazimnya mempunyai struktur abdomen yang lebih besar manakala spesies bentik berbentuk fusiform. Harpaktikoid merupakan taxa dominant di kawasan rumpai laut dan sedimen. Kepadatan harpaktikoid adalah tertinggi dalam *Padina* sp., iaitu 449.07 ± 268.374 individu per gram berat kering alga. Kepadatan terendah didapati pada *Ulva reticulata*, iaitu 9.43 ± 4.58 individu per gram berat kering alga. ANOSIM dua-hala menunjukkan perbezaan bererti ($P < 0.001$) bagi spesies

harpaktikoid yang dijumpai pada rumput laut yang berbeza dan perbezaan bererti ($P < 0.002$) bagi spesies harpaktikoid yang dijumpai di rumput laut dan juga sedimen.