

THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND
DNA EXTRACTION METHODS FOR TISSUES OF
PINCTADA SP. (PINK OYSTER) IN PCR
AMPLIFICATION STUDY

CHONG LIE HEN

FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
2006

cn: 4581



LP 11 FST 3 2006



1100046014

The use of two different preservatives and dna extraction
methods for tissues of pinctada sp. (pearl oyster) in pcr
amplification study / Chong Lie Hien.

PERPUSTAKAAN
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS & TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

| | | |
|------------|--|--|
| 1100046014 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Lihat sebelah

HAK MILIK
PERPUSTAKAAN KUSTEM

THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND DNA EXTRACTION
METHODS FOR TISSUES OF *PINCTADA SP.* (PEARL OYSTER) IN PCR
AMPLIFICATION STUDY

By

Chong Lie Hien

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of
The requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science (Biological Sciences)

Department of Biological Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
2006

1100046014

This project should be cited as:

Chong L. H. 2006. The use of two different preservatives and DNA extraction methods for tissues of *Pinctada sp.* (pearl oyster) in PCR amplification study. Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Science in Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia, Terengganu. 44p.

No part of this project report may be produced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor(s) of the project.



**JABATAN SAINS BIOLOGI
FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

**PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II**

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk: THE USE OF TWO DIFFERENT PRESERVATIVES AND DNA EXTRACTION METHODS FOR TISSUES OF *PINCTADA SP.* (PEARL OYSTER) IN PCR AMPLIFICATION STUDY oleh Chong Lie Hien, no. matrik: UK 7748 telah diperiksa dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan kepada Jabatan Sains Biologi sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan memperoleh Ijazah Sarjana Muda Sains-Sains Biologi, Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia.

Disahkan oleh:

Penyelia Utama

WAN BAYANI WAN OMAR

Nama:

PENSYARAH

Cop Rasmi:

Jabatan Sains Biologi
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
21030 Kuala Terengganu, Terengganu.

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

Nama:

Dr. Zaleha Binti Kassim,
Pensyarah

Cop Rasmi

Jabatan Sains Samudera
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
21030 Kuala Terengganu

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

Ketua Jabatan Sains Biologi

Nama:

PROF. MADYA DR. NAKISAH BT. MAT AMIN
Ketua

Cop Rasmi:

Jabatan Sains Biologi
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
(KUSTEM)
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 30/4/2006

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first individual I would like to appreciate is my supervisor, Miss Wan Bayani Wan Omar. My special thanks were dedicated to her in helping me to conduct this thesis, her knowledge of these technologies, her time spent from sampling till to the general procedures and her unlimited instruction and assistance in using any equipment in lab. Her comments and suggestions have guided me in completing this study. Next, I am also grateful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Zaleha Kassim from the Department of Marine Science, who assisted me in identifying the species and also give me some helpful guideline in doing this project. Besides that, I would like to thank my friends and my entire group members for their support and encouragement during the hard times of this project and ready to give me a hand whenever I need it. I also want to thank the Department of Biological Science for making all necessary materials for this study and all entire departments for being kind and considerate. Lastly, I would like to express my greatest appreciation to my beloved parent and brother for their overall support and encouragement in completing this thesis. Thank you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| LIST OF TABLES | vi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | vii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | viii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | x |
| ABSTRACT | xi |
| ABSTRAK | xii |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 3 |
| 2.1 Taxonomy and Morphology | 3 |
| 2.2 Distribution and Ecology | 4 |
| 2.3 Food and Feeding | 8 |
| 2.4 Reproduction and Growth | 8 |
| 2.5 DNA Extraction | 9 |
| 2.6 Preservation | 10 |
| 2.7 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) | 10 |
| 2.8 Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) | 11 |

| | | |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----|
| CHAPTER 3 | METHODOLOGY | 13 |
| 3.1 | Collection of Samples | 13 |
| 3.2 | Tissue Preservation | 13 |
| 3.3 | DNA Extraction | 13 |
| 3.3.1 | Promega Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit | 13 |
| 3.3.2 | Phenol-Chloroform Extraction | 15 |
| 3.4 | Analysis | 16 |
| 3.4.1 | Analysis of DNA Quality by Gel Electrophoresis | 16 |
| 3.4.2 | Measurement of DNA Purity and Quantity | 17 |
| 3.4.3 | DNA Amplification of RAPD Selected Primer | 17 |
| CHAPTER 4 | RESULTS | 19 |
| 4.1 | Structure and Physical Properties of Preserved Tissue | 19 |
| 4.2 | Purity and Quantity of Genomic DNA | 20 |
| 4.3 | PCR Amplification and Screening of RAPD Primers | 24 |
| CHAPTER 5 | DISCUSSION | 29 |
| 5.1 | Structure and Physical Properties of Preserved tissue | 29 |
| 5.2 | Purity and Quantity of Genomic DNA | 30 |
| 5.3 | PCR Amplification and Screening of RAPD Primer | 31 |
| CHAPTER 6 | CONCLUSION | 35 |
| REFERENCES | | 36 |

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| APPENDICES | 39 |
| A Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from fresh tissue | 40 |
| B Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from TNES-urea buffer preserved tissue | 41 |
| C Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from 95% ethanol-preserved tissue | 42 |
| D Buffers and Solutions | 43 |
| CURICUMUM VITAE | 44 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | | Page |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 4.1 | Purification and quantification of <i>Pinctada sp.</i> using the Promega Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit extraction. | 21 |
| 4.2 | Purification and quantification of <i>Pinctada sp.</i> using the Phenol-Chloroform extraction. | 21 |
| 4.3 | Total number of polymorphic fragments from three types tissues of <i>Pinctada sp.</i> using primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 | 28 |
| A | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from fresh tissue | 40 |
| B | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from TNES-urea buffer preserved tissue | 41 |
| C | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from 95% ethanol-preserved tissue | 42 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1 | The classification of <i>Pinctada sp.</i> | 5 |
| 2.2 | The external anatomy of pearl oyster, <i>Pinctada sp.</i> collected from Setiu Wetland, Terengganu. | 6 |
| 2.3 | The internal anatomy of pearl oyster, <i>Pinctada sp.</i> collected from Setiu Wetland, Terengganu. | 7 |
| 4.1 | Structure and physical properties of TNES-urea buffer preserved tissues | 19 |
| 4.2 | Structure and physical properties of 95% ethanol-preserved tissues | 19 |
| 4.3 | Genomic DNA extracted using Promega Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit on 1.0% agarose gel and stained with 0.5µg/mL ethidium bromide. | 22 |
| 4.4 | Genomic DNA extracted using phenol-chloroform extraction on 1.0% agarose gel and stained with 0.5µg/mL ethidium bromide. | 23 |
| 4.5 | RAPD banding patterns for primer screening of fresh tissue (control sample) using primer OPA-01 to OPA-10. | 25 |
| 4.6 | RAPD banding patterns for primer screening of TNES-urea buffer preserved tissue using OPA-01 to OPA-10. | 26 |
| 4.7 | RAPD banding patterns for primer screening of 95% ethanol-preserved tissue using primer OPA-01 to OPA-10. | 27 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| % | Percentage |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic Acid |
| °C | Degree Celsius |
| g | Gram |
| ml | Mililitre |
| ng | Nanogram |
| mg | Milligram |
| μl | Microlitre |
| rpm | Revolution per Minute |
| M | Molar |
| EDTA | Ethylenediamine Tetra-acetic Acid |
| TBE | Tris-Borate-EDTA |
| TE | Tris-EDTA |
| 1× | One time |
| kb | Kilobase |
| G | Guanine |
| C | Cytosine |
| OD | Optical Density |
| bp | Base pair |
| nm | Nanometer |

UV

Ultra Violet

TNES

Tris-NaCl-EDTA-SDS

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | | Page |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| A | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from fresh tissue | 40 |
| B | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from TNES-urea buffer preserved tissue | 41 |
| C | Presence (1) or absence (0) of bands based on RAPD data generated by primer OPA-01 to OPA-10 from 95% ethanol-preserved tissue | 42 |
| D | Buffers and Solutions | 43 |

ABSTRACT

This study was aim to measure the purity and quantity of DNA *Pinctada sp.* from TNES-urea buffer and 95% ethanol and to compare the efficiency of Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) and phenol-chloroform extraction for DNA extraction. Through PCR amplification, there are positive amplifications from only TNES-urea buffer preserved tissue; almost no amplifications were made from 95% ethanol-preserved tissue. The experiment was designed in laboratory conditions over a period of one to three-month, testing the preservatives TNES-urea buffer and 95% ethanol. Five replicates of each preservation treatment were stored at room temperature, while control samples were cryopreserved. DNA was extracted using Promega kit and phenol-chloroform extraction, and quality was assessed by electrophoresis on agarose-gel followed by PCR amplification. Results show that the length of time in storage has no much affected the presentation of DNA. TNES-urea buffer are significantly better than 95% ethanol for high quality DNA preservation using phenol-chloroform extraction. With the ratio of 1.8 as a standard for pure DNA, the phenol-chloroform extraction produce a better purity and quantity of DNA than Promega kit, in where the purity of genomic DNA were range from 1.187 to 2.015 with the quantity of DNA from 593.4 to 1007.5 ng/ μ l.

PENGGUNAAN DUA JENIS PENGAWET DAN KAEDAH PENGEKTRAKAN DNA YANG BERBEZA DARIPADA TISU-TISU *PINCTADA SP.* (TIRAM MUTIARA) DALAM KAJIAN AMPLIFIKASI PCR

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai puriti dan kuantiti DNA *Pinctada sp.* daripada TNES-urea buffer dan etanol 95%, dan membandingkan keberkesanan Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega) dan pengekstrakan phenol-chloroform dalam pengekstrakan DNA. Melalui amplifikasi PCR, hanya tisu yang diawet dalam TNES-urea buffer menunjukkan amplifikasi yang positif, hampir tiada amplifikasi daripada tisu yang diawet dalam etanol 95%. Eksperimen ini dilakukan dalam keadaan makmal bagi tempoh satu hingga tiga bulan, untuk menguji pengawet TNES-urea buffer dan etanol 95%. Lima sampel dari setiap pengawetan telah disimpan dalam suhu bilik, manakala sampel kawalan disejukkan. DNA telah diekstrak dengan menggunakan kit Promega dan pengekstrakan fenol-klorofom, dan kualiti ditentukan oleh elektroforesis atas gel agaros diikuti oleh amplifikasi PCR. Keputusan menunjukkan Tempoh masa penyimpanan tidak banyak mempengaruhi kehadiran DNA. TNES-urea buffer jelas lebih baik daripada etanol 95% untuk pengawetan DNA yang berkualiti tinggi dengan menggunakan pengekstrakan fenol-klorofom. Dengan nisbah 1.8 sebagai piawai untuk DNA tulen, pengekstrakan fenol-klorofom menghasilkan puriti dan kuantiti DNA yang lebih baik daripada kit Promega, di mana puriti genomik DNA adalah dalam lingkungan 1.187 hingga 2.015 dengan kuantiti DNA dari 593.4 hingga 1007.5 ng/μl.