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#### Abstract

Genetic variability and differences in wild striped snakehead Channa striata from Malaysia were analysed by genotyping nine novel nuclear microsatellite loci. Analysis revealed moderate-to-high genetic diversity in most of the populations, indicative of large effective population sizes. The highly diversified populations are admixed populations and, therefore, can be recommended as potential candidates for selective breeding and conservation since they each contain most of the alleles found in their particular region. Three homogenous groups of the wild populations were identified, apparently separated by effective barriers, in accordance with contemporary drainage patterns. The highest population pairwise $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ found between members of the same group reflects the ancient population connectivity; yet prolonged geographical isolation resulted in adaptation of alleles to local contemporary environmental change. A significant relationship between genetic distance and geographical isolation was observed ( $r=0.644, P<0.01$ ). Anthropogenic perturbations indicated apparent genetic proximity between distant populations.
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## INTRODUCTION

Uncovering the genetic structure of biota is as important as understanding environmental changes since both are integrally and naturally related. It becomes increasingly apparent that many of the genetic patterns at the species level could reflect geomorphological historical processes (Adamson et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2012). Investigating connections among populations of freshwater taxa provides unique opportunities since these species can only disperse through waterways, whereas other terrestrial taxa are capable of migrating via numerous overland routes. The genetics pattern of freshwater taxa frequently explains the historical drainage realignment.

In Malaysia, both the Peninsula and in Northern Borneo (Sarawak and Sabah), are renowned as rich bio-diverse zoogeographic regions where rivers and tributaries are dominant characteristics. The most prominent topographical feature of Peninsular Malaysia is the central mountain range, running from north to south. This range is
commonly known as the Titiwangsa Mountains and forms the backbone of Peninsular Malaysia. The mountains originate in southern Thailand and run c. 480 km south-east before ending near Jelebu in Negeri Sembilan, effectively dividing the eastern region from western Peninsular Malaysia. Within east and west Peninsular Malaysia, many river systems, lakes and other water bodies, which are not interconnected, support a rich aquatic fauna. Migration of aquatic biota varies from occasional to frequent, increasing among adjacent rivers when floods occur. Natural or anthropogenic physical barriers, such as mountain ranges, dams, drainage rearrangements and agriculture development, affect the mobility of the biotic distribution as a whole.
The striped snakehead, also known as chevron snakehead or snakehead murrel Channa striata (Bloch 1793), is indigenous to many Asian countries, particularly the southern region of the Asian continent (Froese \& Pauly, 2010). In Malaysia, the names haruan, aruan, toman paya or ruan (Mohsin \& Ambak, 1983) are applied to this freshwater fish, which is economically valuable in both the capture and culture sectors. The fish has well-known biomedical properties (Mat Jais et al., 1994; Baie \& Sheikh, 2000), good flavour (Inger \& Chin, 1962), and wide acceptance as a food fish in Southeast Asian countries, particularly Thailand and Vietnam (Yusoff et al., 2006). These features make the species a candidate for large-scale aquaculture. Production of the species in Malaysia, however, is still at an artisanal level (Mazuki, 2008), where the major source of stock is from wild captures.
Previous reports on the population genetics of C. striata, from the Peninsular Malaysia used the random amplified polymorphic DNA method (Ambak et al., 2006), from the Mekong River used both mitochondrial DNA and nuclear microsatellite markers (Adamson et al., 2012) and from Sundaland used mitochondrial DNA markers (Tan et al., 2012). There is significant genetic differentiation among the surveyed populations and a vast genetic differentiation among fish from various sampling sites (Adamson et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2012). High genetic fragmentation is expected for C. striata, being restricted by waterways as the only dispersal channel for this obligate and relatively non-migratory freshwater fish (Halls et al., 1998). The genetic fragmentation may be increased as a result of geographic barriers. Research investigating other freshwater fish species (Knight et al., 2009; Huey et al., 2010) bears this out.

In this study, nine novel microsatellite markers were used to analyse the population genetic structure and diversity of C. striata. The intention of this study was to investigate genetic diversity, population connectivity and contemporary gene flow that may be present at the independent sampling sites. Due to the economic value of C. striata, human interference may also play a role in the species' contemporary genetic distribution and diversity throughout the region. The specific aims were to (1) characterize genetic diversity across all wild populations and (2) define population structure of C. striata associated with geophysical barriers (phylogeography). The working hypothesis is that C. striata populations may show significant genetic differentiation because their movement is impeded by geophysical barriers, but adjacent populations might share common alleles, because of gene flow and local adaptation.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## ETHICS STATEMENT

Many live specimens from wild populations at all sampling locations were collected from local fishermen. The origins and localities of the specimens were determined by interview of
local fishermen and confirmed to have originated from a single source prior to collection. A small portion of one set of the dorsal or caudal fin rays (c. $0.2 \mathrm{~cm} \times 2 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) from each fish was preserved in 1.5 ml tubes containing a solution of $95 \%$ ethanol, while another set was held in TNES-urea ( 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7 \cdot 5,125 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and 10 mM EDTA, $\mathrm{pH} 7 \cdot 5,1 \%$ SDS, 3 M urea) modified from Valles-Jimenez et al. (2004). Tissue samples were stored at room temperature (c. $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) until use. The fish were then returned to the dealers or brought back to the Aquatic House of Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, for further aquaculture research. This study was approved by the University's Ethics Committee. All practical steps were taken to ameliorate suffering of the fish throughout the study.

## SPECIMEN COLLECTION, DNA EXTRACTION AND PCR OPTIMIZATION AMPLIFICATION

Random sampling of 19 wild populations throughout Peninsular and Malaysian Borneo (Sarawak and Sabah) involving 486 individual C. striata was conducted from 2007 to 2010 (Table I and Fig. 1). Sampling locations were divided into five regions following Tan et al. (2012): (1) north-west Peninsular, (2) central west Peninsular, (3) southern Peninsular, (4) east Peninsular and (5) Malaysian Borneo. The north-west Peninsular (1) is the area of the west Peninsular confined by the Titiwangsa Mountain Range in the east and the Bintang Mountain Range in the south. The Bintang Mountain Range is located within the Perak state and runs from southern Thailand in the north to the south of Perak. Central west Peninsular (2) includes populations of the west Peninsular region and south of the Bintang Mountain Range, up to the southernmost tip of the Titiwangsa Mountain Range. The southern Peninsular (3) includes populations situated at the south, without confinement by the Titiwangsa Mountain Range. East Peninsular (4) includes populations east of the Titiwangsa Mountain Range. Malaysian Borneo (5) includes island states of Sarawak and Sabah.

DNA templates were isolated by use of AQUAGENOMIC kits (MultiTarget Pharmaceuticals; www.multitargetpharm.com), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Seven microsatellite markers (developed by Jamsari et al., 2011) and two additional GenBank loci (accession numbers GU321680 and HQ993085) were amplified successfully. PCR amplification followed two methods: multiplexing (simultaneous amplification of five loci) and individual PCR, due to the low reproducibility of some loci when amplified in multiplex PCR. Multiplex PCR amplified loci $A 05^{(-N E D)}, E 12^{(-V I C)}, E 11^{(-P E T)}, C 07^{(-V I C)}$ and $F 05^{(- \text {GFAM })}$ with the following conditions: 20 ng template DNA, $6.25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Master Mix (Qiagen; www.qiagen.com), $1.25 \mu$ Primer Mix and $1.25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Q-solution (Qiagen) in $12 \cdot 50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ total volume. The following conditions governed individual PCR amplification analysis for loci $A 11^{(-N E D)}, B 07^{(-N E D)}, H 09^{(-V I C)}$ and $H 02^{(-P E T)}: 20 \mathrm{ng}$ template DNA, 1xPCR buffer (iNtRON; www.intronbio.com), $1.04 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2_{\text {(iNtRON) }}$, 0.04 mM dNTP (iNtRON), 0.42 mM of each primer, and 0.04 U Taq polymerase (iNtRON) in a total volume of $12.00 \mu$. Thermal cycling conditions for both PCR amplifications were: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by 35 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $45 \mathrm{~s}, 57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $45 \mathrm{~s}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min and a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . Satisfactory PCR products were sent for fragment analysis (First BASE Laboratories; www.base-asia.com).

## DATA ANALYSIS

## Genetic diversity

Diploid genotypic data scoring was performed with GS500LIZ as the internal size standard by using Peak Scanner v 1.0 software (Applied Biosystems; www. appliedbiosystems.com); allele peaks were scored in electrophoretograms as in Arif et al. (2010). Initial screening of all genotypic data to check for the presence of null alleles and detection of scoring bias due to stuttering or large allele dropouts was performed with Micro-Checker v 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004). The raw data were converted into several specific data formats by using the programme CONVERT (Glaubitz, 2004) for various software analyses. Likelihood ratio test of linkage disequilibrium based on the expectation-maximization algorithm (Slatkin \& Excoffier, 1996), with 10000 permutations, was applied to all pairwise comparisons of loci by using Arlequin v 3.1 (Excoffier et al., 2005) to test for significant

Table I. Sampling locality, co-ordinate and sample size of Channa striata populations in this study (see Fig. 1)

| Region | Population | Latitude (north) | Longitude (east) | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North-west Peninsular | 1) Kuala Nerang (KN), Kedah | $6^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ | $100^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ | 25 |
|  | 2) Seberang Prai (SP), <br> P. Pinang | $5^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ | $100^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ | 33 |
|  | 3) Teluk Kumbar (TK), P. Pinang | $5^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ | $100^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ | 27 |
|  | 4) Kerian (KR), Perak | $4^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ | $100^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ | 34 |
| Central-west Peninsular | 5) Tanjung Rambutan (TR), Perak | $4^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ | $101^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ | 30 |
|  | 6) Tapah (TP), Perak | $4^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ | $101^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ | 28 |
|  | 7) Kajang (KJ), K. Lumpur | $2^{\circ} 59^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ | $101^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ | 31 |
| Southern Peninsular | 8) Linggi (LG), N. Sembilan | $2^{\circ} 35^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ | $102^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ | 31 |
|  | 9) Yong Peng (YP), Johor | $2^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ | $103^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ | 27 |
|  | 10) Mersing (MS), Johor | $2^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ | $103^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ | 31 |
| East Peninsular | 11) Kota Bahru (KB), Kelantan | $6^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ | $102^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ | 26 |
|  | 12) Binjai (BJ), Terengganu | $4^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ | $103^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ | 32 |
|  | 13) Kubang Bujuk, Marang (KT), Terengganu | $5^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 38^{\prime \prime}$ | $103^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ | 19 |
|  | 14) Kuala Krau, Mentakap (KK), Pahang | $3^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ | $102^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ | 17 |
| Malaysian Borneo | 15) Kota Belud (SB), Sabah | $6^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ | $116^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ | 13 |
|  | 16) Kampung Kesapang (KS), Sabah | $6^{\circ} 21^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ | $116^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ | 14 |
|  | 17) Papar (PP), Sabah | $5^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ | $115^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ | 16 |
|  | 18) Sungai Sibuti, Miri (SS), Sarawak | $4^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ | $113^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ | 27 |
|  | 19) Serian (SW), Sarawak | $1^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ | $110^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime}$ | 25 |
|  |  |  | Total | 486 |

$n$, sample size.
association between alleles at two loci. An exact test was performed to test for statistically significant departure from linkage equilibrium with 10000 permutations followed by false discovery rate (FDR) adjustment (Benjamini \& Hochberg, 1995) at a significance level of $0 \cdot 05$.

Allelic richness $\left(A_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$, number of alleles $\left(N_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and inbreeding coefficient $\left(F_{\mathrm{IS}}\right)$ for each locus and population (Weir \& Cockerham, 1984) were assessed in FSTAT v 2.9.3 (Goudet, 1995). Allelic richness is a measure of the number of alleles per locus, corrected in this study for variation in population sizes by a rarefaction technique (Kalinowski, 2005); the smallest population sample size was 13 in SB (Table I and Fig. 1). The inbreeding coefficient was calculated based on the difference between observed and expected heterozygosity, with a range of -1 (outbreeding) to +1 (totally identical) to test for global deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). Mean genetic heterozygosity over all loci, and observed $\left(H_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ and expected $\left(H_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ heterozygosities per locus and population were calculated, followed by exact tests with 10000 steps in a Markov chain and 10000 dememorization steps, were performed with Arlequin v 3.1.


Fig. 1. Map of Malaysia. (a) political and (b) clustering of Channa striata populations into three groups as defined in STRUCTURE: $\qquad$ ., group $1 ; \__{-}$, group 2; $\qquad$ , group 3; $\Delta$, mountains' location; $\quad$, sampling site. A, the Titiwangsa Mountain Ranges; B, the Bintang Mountain Range. KN, Kuala Nerang; SP, Seberang Prai; TK, Teluk Kumbar; KR, Kerian; TR, Tanjung Rambutan; TP, Tapah; KJ, Kajang; LG, Linggi; YP, Yong Peng; MS, Mersing; KB, Kota Bahru; BJ, Binjai; KT, Kubang Bujuk; KK, Kuala Krau; SB, Kota Belud; KS, Kampung Kesapang; PP, Papar; SS, Sungai Sibuti; SW, Serian.

To account for multiple testing in HWE, all calculated probability values were corrected with FDR with a global significance level of 0.05 .

The programme BOTTLENECK (Cornuet \& Luikart, 1996) was used to detect whether the populations had experienced recent reductions in population size. A two-phased mutational model ( $95 \%$ single stepwise mutation and $5 \%$ infinite allele mutation) and a two-tailed Wilcoxon sign-rank test were implemented in this analysis to test for deviation from HWE, followed by FDR adjustment. The qualitative descriptor of allele frequency (mode-shift indicator) analysed in BOTTLENECK differentiated shifted mode populations (bottleneck) from stable populations (Luikart et al., 1998).

## Population structure

A hierarchical analysis of molecular variance was performed on all populations to infer the relative attribution of variance among groups ( $F_{\mathrm{CT}}$ ), among populations within groups ( $F_{\mathrm{SC}}$ ), and within populations ( $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ ) by use of Arlequin v 3.1. The calculation of two pairwise estimates of population differentiation, based on two different mutational models, allowed examination of the differences between each pair of populations. Estimates Rho ( $\rho$ ), an unbiased estimator for Slatkins' $R_{\text {ST }}$ statistic that assumes stepwise mutation, was calculated across total populations and all pairwise population comparisons by use of $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ST}} \mathrm{Calc}$ (Goodman, 1997). All $R_{\mathrm{ST}}$ estimates that departed from zero were tested with 1000 permutations. Pairwise estimates using the unbiased estimator theta $(\theta)$ (Weir \& Cockerham, 1984) of Wright's $F_{\text {ST }}$ statistics, which assumes an infinite alleles mutation process were calculated in FSTAT v 2.9.3. Significant departure from
zero was evaluated by 1000 random permutations. Significance levels of all pairwise estimates were adjusted with FDR procedure at $\alpha=0.05$. The relationship between genetic and geographical distances was assessed by use of a Mantel's test in IBD v 1.52 (isolation by distance) (Mantel, 1967; Bohonak, 2002). Population pairwise $\theta$ values were used for genetic distance, and approximate geographical distances between sample locations were measured as linear distance in km by using Google Earth. Geographic distance was $\ln$ transformed, and the strength of the relationship was examined with reduced major axis regression (10 000 randomizations) in IBD v 1.52.

A neighbour-joining (NJ) tree was constructed to view the relationship between populations based on $D_{\mathrm{A}}$ distance (Nei et al., 1983) in POPTREE2 (Takezaki et al., 2010), and confidence levels at each node were assessed with 1000 bootstrap replications (Felsenstein, 1985). $D_{\mathrm{A}}$ distance appears to be more efficient than $F^{*}$ ST (Latter, 1972), $F_{\text {ST }}^{\prime}$ (Slatkin, 1993; Rousset, 1997), $D_{\mathrm{S}}$ (Nei, 1972) and $(\delta \mu)^{2}$ (Goldstein et al., 1995) in obtaining the correct tree topology for multiple loci in microsatellite data (Takezaki \& Nei, 1996, 2008).

After determining the probability of the number of groups, $k$, individuals were assigned to their respective source populations based on multilocus genotypic data by use of the programme STRUCTURE v 2.3 (Pritchard et al., 2000). Correlated allele frequencies among populations (Falush et al., 2003) and admix model assumptions corresponded with the burn-in period and Markov chain Monte Carlo length, each at 10000 and 10 iterations, were used. An examination of $k=1-10$ determined the true number of groups, $k$, as in Evanno et al. (2005). The highest peak of $\Delta k=k$ was chosen, where $k$ is the most probable number of groups for the entire dataset. Posterior probability of any admixed individuals belonging to the original population was calculated to assign them back to the original population. The probabilities of genotype assignment into each individual group were performed across replicates by use of CLUMPP v 1.1.2 (Jakobsson \& Rosenberg, 2007), and the graphical presentation was carried out using DISTRUCT v 1.1 software (Rosenberg, 2004). A NJ tree (Saitou \& Nei, 1987) was constructed to demonstrate the genetic divergence among groups of populations based on the allelic frequency (net nucleotide distance) by use of DRAWTREE software (Felsenstein, 2005).

## RESULTS

A total of 19 populations, consisting of 486 individuals of C. striata in Malaysia were genotyped for all nine microsatellites DNA loci. Population size ranged from 13 individuals in SB to 33 in SP, with an average of 26 individuals per population (Table I), after eliminating individuals with missing data for at least one locus. Only $8.0 \%$ (55 of 684) of pairwise comparisons displayed linkage disequilibria. Dropout of large alleles produced negligible scoring error. A significant shortage of heterozygotes with one unit repeat difference (Van Oosterhout et al., 2004) indicated stuttering scoring errors at a few loci in random populations. Similarly, a few loci had null alleles, as indicated by a general excess of homozygotes. Mutations in flanking regions were not likely to have been the cause for this observation (Dewoody et al., 2006; Neville et al., 2007) as there was no consistent trend in linkage disequilibrium involving individual loci across all populations. Thus, it appears that the departure from HWE relates to the population sampling stochasticity and not on inherent characteristics of the markers used.

## GENETIC DIVERSITY

The number of alleles per locus ranged from six at $C S$-F05 $\left(A_{\mathrm{R}}=4.6\right)$ to 24 at $C S$-E12 $\left(A_{\mathrm{R}}=12 \cdot 9\right)$ (Table II). Within populations, the average number of alleles over the nine loci ranged from 3.2 in SS to 10.1 in TR, with a moderate average allele number of 5.7 per locus. The highest genetic diversity, as assessed by all related measures (private alleles, highest mean number of alleles, mean expected heterozygosity), was recorded
in TR followed by KJ, KR and LG. In contrast, the SB, SS, KN and KT populations were among the least diverse. A moderate mean $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ over all loci and populations ( $0 \cdot 58$ ) ranged from 0.33 to 0.79 (Table III).

A total of 47 out of 171 HWE tests $(27.5 \%)$ departed significantly from random mating, with all but two of them having reduced observed heterozygosity. Specific loci across populations displayed no consistent linkage pattern, which suggests that all the loci used in this study provided independent assessments of genetic variation among populations. Within populations, seven of nine loci of MS population had a significant heterozygosity deficit from HWE. The relatively high $F_{\text {IS }}$ mean value in MS $\left(F_{\text {IS }}=0.42\right)$ also reflects this deficit. Four populations (KS, SB, PP and KT) were in HWE at all loci, whereas other populations showed departures from HWE at one to five loci (except for MS, which had seven). Only the KN population had lower inbreeding probability than expected ( $F_{\text {IS }}=-0.08$ ). Mean $F_{\text {IS }}$ value in each population was low-to-moderate and ranged from -0.08 to 0.42 (Table III).

The Wilcoxon sign-rank test indicated that only one population was significantly in mutation-drift disequilibrium ( $\alpha<0 \cdot 05$ ). Population SS displayed significant excess heterozygosity and had probably undergone recent reduction in population size, as indicated by the shifted mode, whereas other populations had a pattern of mutation-drift equilibrium, as indicated by the typical L-shaped distribution allele frequency. All populations, except SS, retained a relatively high number of rare alleles (allele frequency $<0 \cdot 1$ ), which resulted in L-shaped graphs, indicating that these populations had not experienced a population-size bottleneck (Cornuet \& Luikart, 1996; Luikart et al., 1998; MacAvoy et al., 2007).

## POPULATION STRUCTURE

A hierarchical analysis of molecular variance performed on all populations without predefined group clustering revealed that $28.1 \%$ of the total genetic variance was among populations, while $71.9 \%$ was within populations. All pairwise comparisons of $F_{\text {ST }}$ values revealed significant differentiation, except for the SB-KS pair (Table IV); these Sabah populations had a negative $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ value of $-0 \cdot 014$. In other pairwise comparisons, $F_{\text {ST }}$ ranged from 0.048 (KK-LG) to 0.591 (SB-SW), with an average of $0 \cdot 279$. Pairwise comparisons $F_{\text {ST }}$ of SW-SB and SW-KN also had relatively high differentiation. Inspection on intraregional populations showed relatively higher pairwise $F_{\text {ST }}$ values of populations within Malaysian Borneo than with those of other regions. Specifically, high $F_{S T}$ values were found between SW and all three Sabah populations (SB, KS and PP); $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values ranged from 0.489 to 0.591 , the highest amongst all pairwise comparisons. Pairwise $F_{\text {ST }}$ between both Sarawak populations of SW and SS was 0.347 . By comparison, the highest value within a region other than Malaysian Borneo reached only $0 \cdot 282$ (KN-KR from the north-west Peninsular).

The analogous pairwise estimates of $R_{\mathrm{ST}}$ revealed an additional nine pairs of populations that lacked differentiation; these populations were mainly intraregional populations. Unexpectedly, PP-TR also had a lack of significant differentiation. The other values were in agreement with the $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ statistics. A significant pattern of isolation by distance was found among the samples ( $r=0.644, P<0.01 ; r^{2}=0.414$ ).
Despite the high genetic differentiation detected between SW and the other Malaysian Borneo populations (between SW and Sabah's populations SB, KS and PP), the NJ tree linked these populations genetically and clustered them as a single
Table II. Allelic richness $\left(A_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$, number of alleles $\left(N_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and number of unique alleles (in parenthesis) at each locus and each population (see Table I

| Locus | Population | $\mathrm{KN}$ | SP | $\mathrm{TK}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{KR} \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ | TR | TP | KJ | LG | YP | MS | KB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BJ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { KT } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | KK | SB | KS | PP | $\begin{gathered} \text { SW } \\ 25 \end{gathered}$ | SS | Total 486 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C07 | $A_{\mathrm{R}}$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | 4.4 | $5 \cdot 5$ | $7 \cdot 1$ | 9.6 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | $7 \cdot 7$ | 5.4 | $5 \cdot 0$ | 6.9 | $5 \cdot 3$ | 3.0 | $4 \cdot 5$ | 2.0 | $2 \cdot 9$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | $3 \cdot 1$ | 2.0 | $9 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4(1) | 2 | 18 |
| A05 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | $2 \cdot 6$ | 3.6 | $3 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 5$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | 5.9 | $5 \cdot 4$ | 3.0 | $3 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | 3.0 | $3 \cdot 8$ | 4.0 | 5.9 | 3.0 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 2.0 | $6 \cdot 5$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8(1) | 7(2) | 4 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 3(1) | 3 | 2(1) | 13 |
| F05 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $3 \cdot 0$ | $4 \cdot 2$ | 4.9 | $4 \cdot 6$ | $4 \cdot 8$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.7 | $3 \cdot 3$ | $1 \cdot 8$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | $2 \cdot 3$ | 3.0 | $4 \cdot 6$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4(1) | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| E12 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | $4 \cdot 3$ | $9 \cdot 2$ | 7.5 | $7 \cdot 8$ | $8 \cdot 1$ | $10 \cdot 5$ | $10 \cdot 3$ | $10 \cdot 2$ | 4.0 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 7$ | 7.4 | 4.0 | $10 \cdot 1$ | 5.0 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 4.0 | $12 \cdot 9$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 5(1) | 11 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 15 | 14 | 5(1) | 5 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 24 |
| E11 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | 4.0 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $5 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $6 \cdot 2$ | 5.6 | $6 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | 3.5 | 2.4 | $6 \cdot 1$ | $2 \cdot 9$ | $1 \cdot 0$ | 4.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6.7 |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| H09 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | $3 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 4$ | $4 \cdot 0$ | 7.4 | 11.4 | 4.4 | $7 \cdot 2$ | 7.4 | $5 \cdot 5$ | 5.0 | $6 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | $5 \cdot 5$ | 4.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 8.0 | 1.5 | $4 \cdot 8$ | $9 \cdot 5$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 4 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 17(2) | 5 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9(1) | 2 | 5 | 21 |
| B07 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | 3.0 | $3 \cdot 3$ | 3.0 | $4 \cdot 1$ | $5 \cdot 6$ | 4.7 | 5.8 | $5 \cdot 2$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | 3.5 | 4.9 | 3.9 | $4 \cdot 1$ | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.8 | $2 \cdot 4$ | 3.0 | $7 \cdot 2$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 3 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6(1) | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4(1) | 4 | 3 | 3 | 11 |
| Al1 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | 3.6 | $5 \cdot 8$ | $6 \cdot 0$ | 5.6 | 9.9 | $6 \cdot 1$ | 11.6 | 9.9 | $10 \cdot 1$ | $8 \cdot 2$ | 6.4 | $7 \cdot 2$ | 3.9 | $10 \cdot 0$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 5.4 | 3.5 | $12 \cdot 1$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 4 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 14 | 8(1) | 15 | 12(1) | 13 | 10 | 8 | 10(1) | 4 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 22 |
| H02 | $A_{\text {R }}$ | $2 \cdot 0$ | $5 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 7$ | $5 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | 4.6 | $6 \cdot 2$ | $3 \cdot 8$ | 3.9 | 4.4 | $6 \cdot 1$ | $6 \cdot 1$ | 2.9 | $3 \cdot 8$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | $3 \cdot 8$ | 4.5 | $2 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 6$ |
|  | $N_{\text {A }}$ | 2 | 6 | 4(1) | 8 | 9(1) | 5 | 8(2) | 4 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } N_{\mathrm{A}} \\ & \left(N_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 1 \\ & (55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 2 \\ & (47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.0 \\ & (72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \cdot 1 \\ & (91) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 7 \\ & (60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \cdot 0 \\ & (81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.9 \\ & (71) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 1 \\ & (55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 3 \\ & (48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \cdot 1 \\ & (64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \cdot 0 \\ & (54) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & (32) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \cdot 7 \\ & (51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & (27) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 7 \\ & (33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \cdot 6 \\ & (41) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.9 \\ & (35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \cdot 2 \\ & (29) \end{aligned}$ | $5 \cdot 7$ |  |

BJ, Binjai; KB, Kota Bahru; KJ, Kajang; KK, Kuala Krau; KN, Kuala Nerang; KR, Kerian; KS, Kampung Kesapang; KT, Kubang Bujuk; LG, Linggi; MS, Mersing; n, sample size; PP, Papar; SB, Kota Belud; SP, Seberang Prai; SS, Sungai Sibuti; SW, Serian; TK, Teluk Kumbar; TP, Tapah; TR, Tanjung Rambutan; YP, Yong Peng.


[^0]Table IV. Population pairwise divergence ( $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ lower left; $R_{\mathrm{ST}}$ upper right) of Channa striata populations (see Table I and Fig. 1) as inferred from

| Region | North-west Peninsular |  |  |  | Central-west Peninsular |  |  | Southern Peninsular |  |  | East Peninsular |  |  |  | Malaysian Borneo |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | KN | SP | TK | KR | TR | TP | KJ | LG | YP | MS | KB | BJ | KT | KK | SB | KS | PP | SS | SW |
| KN |  | $0 \cdot 157$ | $0 \cdot 122$ | $0 \cdot 230$ | $0 \cdot 228$ | $0 \cdot 282$ | 0.338 | $0 \cdot 415$ | 0.563 | 0.482 | $0 \cdot 346$ | 0.474 | $0 \cdot 486$ | 0.468 | $0 \cdot 354$ | $0 \cdot 383$ | 0.343 | $0 \cdot 406$ | 0.575 |
| SP | $0 \cdot 252$ |  | 0.077 | 0.246 | 0.245 | $0 \cdot 275$ | $0 \cdot 370$ | 0.410 | 0.527 | 0.502 | $0 \cdot 367$ | 0.534 | 0.551 | 0.438 | $0 \cdot 418$ | $0 \cdot 435$ | $0 \cdot 339$ | 0.417 | 0.550 |
| TK | 0.280 | 0.055 |  | $0 \cdot 187$ | $0 \cdot 160$ | $0 \cdot 218$ | $0 \cdot 280$ | 0.332 | 0.432 | 0.407 | 0.337 | 0.450 | 0.484 | 0.339 | $0 \cdot 301$ | $0 \cdot 319$ | 0.256 | 0.398 | 0.507 |
| KR | 0.282 | $0 \cdot 120$ | 0.122 |  | $0 \cdot 024 \dagger$ | $0.039 \dagger$ | $0 \cdot 115$ | $0 \cdot 142$ | $0 \cdot 315$ | $0 \cdot 198$ | 0.095 | 0.187 | 0.150 | 0.227 | $0 \cdot 223$ | $0 \cdot 247$ | $0 \cdot 132$ | $0 \cdot 193$ | 0.371 |
| TR | 0.284 | $0 \cdot 166$ | 0.132 | 0.086 |  | $0.048 \dagger$ | 0.079 | $0 \cdot 101$ | $0 \cdot 209$ | $0 \cdot 165$ | $0 \cdot 105$ | 0.147 | 0.118 | $0 \cdot 154$ | 0.107 | $0 \cdot 127$ | $0.035 \dagger$ | 0.165 | 0.354 |
| TP | 0.296 | $0 \cdot 181$ | 0.178 | 0.092 | $0 \cdot 072$ |  | $0 \cdot 183$ | $0 \cdot 210$ | $0 \cdot 350$ | 0.286 | $0 \cdot 178$ | $0 \cdot 307$ | $0 \cdot 233$ | 0.288 | 0.279 | 0.296 | $0 \cdot 167$ | 0.254 | 0.379 |
| KJ | 0.321 | $0 \cdot 202$ | 0.175 | 0.124 | 0.055 | $0 \cdot 145$ |  | $0 \cdot 144$ | $0 \cdot 292$ | $0 \cdot 112$ | 0.094 | 0. 104 | 0.122 | $0 \cdot 198$ | 0.250 | 0.266 | $0 \cdot 132$ | $0 \cdot 199$ | 0.442 |
| LG | 0.346 | $0 \cdot 264$ | 0.233 | $0 \cdot 152$ | 0.098 | $0 \cdot 159$ | $0 \cdot 120$ |  | $0 \cdot 129$ | 0.069 | $0 \cdot 124$ | 0.105 | 0.189 | $0 \cdot 030 \dagger$ | $0 \cdot 186$ | $0 \cdot 168$ | 0.093 | $0 \cdot 150$ | 0.322 |
| YP | 0.479 | 0.401 | 0.374 | $0 \cdot 296$ | 0.267 | 0.341 | $0 \cdot 249$ | $0 \cdot 190$ |  | $0 \cdot 282$ | 0.316 | 0.343 | 0.434 | $0.046 \dagger$ | 0.378 | 0.351 | $0 \cdot 224$ | 0.342 | 0.386 |
| MS | 0.473 | 0.381 | $0 \cdot 361$ | $0 \cdot 306$ | 0.238 | 0.322 | $0 \cdot 207$ | $0 \cdot 166$ | $0 \cdot 219$ |  | $0 \cdot 183$ | 0.120 | 0.258 | $0 \cdot 149$ | 0.348 | $0 \cdot 327$ | $0 \cdot 208$ | $0 \cdot 297$ | 0.470 |
| KB | 0.375 | $0 \cdot 268$ | $0 \cdot 241$ | 0.188 | 0.095 | $0 \cdot 186$ | 0.077 | $0 \cdot 144$ | $0 \cdot 295$ | $0 \cdot 254$ |  | 0.068 | 0.042 $\dagger$ | 0.226 | $0 \cdot 216$ | $0 \cdot 239$ | $0 \cdot 135$ | 0.046 | 0.325 |
| BJ | 0.442 | $0 \cdot 326$ | $0 \cdot 309$ | 0.219 | $0 \cdot 178$ | $0 \cdot 281$ | $0 \cdot 113$ | $0 \cdot 125$ | $0 \cdot 221$ | $0 \cdot 210$ | $0 \cdot 126$ |  | 0. 107 | 0.221 | 0.306 | $0 \cdot 309$ | $0 \cdot 197$ | $0 \cdot 196$ | 0.453 |
| KT | 0.497 | 0.401 | $0 \cdot 375$ | 0.310 | $0 \cdot 220$ | 0.351 | $0 \cdot 177$ | 0.242 | 0.389 | 0.354 | 0.189 | 0.188 |  | 0.331 | 0.402 | 0.416 | 0.221 | 0.179 | 0.503 |
| KK | 0.382 | $0 \cdot 278$ | $0 \cdot 247$ | $0 \cdot 174$ | $0 \cdot 112$ | $0 \cdot 190$ | $0 \cdot 122$ | 0.048 | 0.221 | $0 \cdot 202$ | $0 \cdot 165$ | 0.138 | $0 \cdot 253$ |  | $0 \cdot 249$ | 0.223 | $0 \cdot 142$ | 0.238 | 0.351 |
| SB | 0.537 | 0.387 | 0.356 | $0 \cdot 318$ | 0.269 | 0.336 | 0.279 | 0.376 | 0.518 | 0.491 | 0.324 | 0.403 | 0.529 | 0.393 |  | $-0.025 \dagger$ | $0.049 \dagger$ | 0.232 | 0.478 |
| KS | 0.530 | $0 \cdot 377$ | $0 \cdot 346$ | $0 \cdot 306$ | 0.258 | $0 \cdot 325$ | 0.261 | $0 \cdot 356$ | $0 \cdot 500$ | 0.470 | $0 \cdot 310$ | $0 \cdot 384$ | 0.509 | 0.373 | -0.014 $\dagger$ |  | $0.052 \dagger$ | 0.247 | 0.472 |
| PP | 0.441 | $0 \cdot 312$ | $0 \cdot 278$ | $0 \cdot 245$ | $0 \cdot 175$ | $0 \cdot 246$ | $0 \cdot 190$ | $0 \cdot 275$ | 0.419 | 0.402 | $0 \cdot 240$ | $0 \cdot 315$ | $0 \cdot 374$ | 0.274 | 0.130 | 0.133 |  | $0 \cdot 146$ | 0.424 |
| SS | 0.446 | 0.328 | $0 \cdot 298$ | 0.245 | $0 \cdot 197$ | 0.270 | $0 \cdot 186$ | 0.279 | 0.381 | 0.393 | 0.229 | $0 \cdot 307$ | $0 \cdot 398$ | 0.296 | 0.182 | 0.181 | $0 \cdot 147$ |  | 0.362 |
| SW | 0.590 | 0.484 | 0.463 | 0.384 | $0 \cdot 352$ | 0.438 | 0.354 | 0.382 | 0.453 | 0.496 | 0.382 | 0.413 | $0 \cdot 548$ | 0.444 | 0.591 | 0.586 | 0.489 | $0 \cdot 347$ |  |

BJ, Binjai; FDR, false discovery rate; KB, Kota Bahru; KJ, Kajang; KK, Kuala Krau; KN, Kuala Nerang; KR, Kerian; KS, Kampung Kesapang; KT, Kubang Bujuk; LG, Linggi; MS, Mersing; PP, Papar; SB, Kota Belud; SP, Seberang Prai; SS, Sungai Sibuti; SW, Serian; TK, Teluk Kumbar; TP, Tapah; TR, Tanjung Rambutan; YP, Yong Peng. $\dagger$ Non-significant values after FDR correction at $\alpha=0.05$, all other values are significant.


FIG. 2. The unrooted neighbur-joining (NJ) tree inferred from multilocus microsatellite DNA based on $D_{\text {A }}$ distance. Each branch has a denoted NJ bootstrap value. Group 1 (all north-west Peninsular with two central-west Peninsular populations), group 2 (all east Peninsular, southern Peninsular and one central-west Peninsular populations) and group 3 (all populations of Malaysian Borneo) (see Fig. 1).
group (Fig. 2). Population SW, which appeared differentiated from its group members, simply had a longer branch length in the NJ tree. Separation of populations was supported by the Bayesian clustering analysis, which detected three phylogenetic groups $(\Delta k=3)$ among the wild populations of C. striata in Malaysia (Fig. 3). Assignment of the populations into respective groups based on multi-locus genotypic data revealed that the segregation of individual populations correlated with geographical distribution. STRUCTURE clustered all north-west Peninsular populations (KN, SP, TK and KR) with two populations from the central west Peninsular (TR and TP) populations as one group (group 1); all east Peninsular, southern Peninsular, and one of the central west Peninsular populations (KJ) belonging to group 2, and all Malaysian Borneo populations, group 3 (Fig. 1). The algorithm revealed a relatively high membership coefficient of the individuals with their respective groups. Groups 1 and $3(0 \cdot 1712)$ had the highest genetic divergence, followed by groups 2 and $3(0 \cdot 1633)$, then groups 1 and 2 ( $0 \cdot 1228$ ).

## DISCUSSION

## GENETIC DIVERSITY

The overall mean expected heterozygosity and mean number of alleles per locus for C. striata were $H_{\mathrm{E}}=0.58$ and $N_{\mathrm{A}}=5.7$, in agreement with a comprehensive study of


Fig. 3. Bar plots show the three-clustered population structure of Channa striata into three groups. The different coloured fine lines in the major groups indicate admixed individuals. Group 1 (all north-west Peninsular with two central west Peninsular populations), group 2 (all east Peninsular, southern Peninsular and one central-west Peninsular populations) and group 3 (all Malaysian Borneo populations) (see Fig. 1).
microsatellite variation in marine, freshwater and anadromous fishes, and other organisms (DeWoody \& Avise, 2000). Those authors reported a population-level genetic variation in freshwater fishes of $H_{\mathrm{E}}=0.46$ and $N_{\mathrm{A}}=7 \cdot 5$. All diversity analyses consistently indicated that TR, KJ, LG and KR were the most highly diversified populations, with an average number of alleles per locus ranging from $3 \cdot 2$ to $10 \cdot 1$ and expected heterozygosities of $0.33-0.79$. The relatively moderate-to-high genetic diversity in those populations reflected large effective population sizes. Another plausible explanation was that of allele contribution by migrant individuals from genetically distinctive stocks. TR (pre-assigned to group 1) represented a more complex population, with up to $40 \%$ of its members significantly close to group 2 . In this instance, it was believed that the geographically adjacent population, KJ (assigned as group 2 after analysis in STRUCTURE), other than TP, was the main gene contributor, and detailed inspection of diploid data supported this hypothesis (Fig. 3). Common alleles found between these two populations and a lower population pairwise $F_{\text {ST }}$ value observed between them indicated the presence of gene flow between the populations. No severe bottleneck or reduction in population size existed except in SS , as noted by a significant reduction in its rare alleles. Translocated stock in the SS population (Tan et al., 2012), from sources other than Sabah's populations (SB, KS and PP), explains the reduced effective population size, which reflects small numbers of mating pairs and expressed as inbreeding signature or founder effect (Lippé et al., 2006).

## POPULATION STRUCTURE

Three phylogenetic groups are associated with phylogeographic relationships. Peninsular Malaysia populations were divided into two discrete groups by the Titiwangsa Mountain Range to form north-west and east-south distinct lineages. This insurmountable physical impediment has limited genetic exchange between the west-east regions and, after a prolonged period of time, the isolated stocks evolved independently to form separate lineages. Similarly, the isolation of the Malaysian Borneo states of Sarawak and Sabah from Peninsular Malaysia since sea level rose c. 10000 years ago towards the end of the Pleistocene Epoch, permitted accumulation of private alleles over time to form a single distinct region. Within and between groups, almost all of population pairwise comparisons showed a high level and significant genetic structuring and differentiation (particularly between groups); this observation is a typical freshwater fish
characteristic (Barson et al., 2009; Huey et al., 2010) and is supported by the significant correlation between genetic distance and geographical isolation in IBD analysis. Exceptions to this pattern were 10 population pairwise $R_{S T}$ values; these involved adjacent localities (within the same state), a finding which suggests common ancestry.

In contrast, within group 3, high population pairwise genetic distance comparisons between SW and group members (SB, KS, PP and SS) indicate SW's genetic distinction from the rest of the Malaysian Borneo populations in Sarawak and Sabah. This finding is similar to the previous study based on the mtDNA ND5 gene by Tan et al. (2012). Compared with the findings in this study, however, SW was previously included with populations from the east-south Peninsular (Tan et al., 2012). Esa et al. (2006) made a similar observation in their study on a freshwater fish species, Tor douronensis (Valenciennes 1842), within the Malaysian Borneo states of Sarawak and Sabah, which was based on data from the mtDNA CO1 gene. The authors revealed distinct haplotype sequences in Sabah populations, with high genetic differentiation from the populations in Sarawak, a finding that suggested the Sabah populations were genetically isolated and physically disconnected from those of other Borneo regions. The authors further showed that T. douronensis populations from the northern part of Sarawak are significantly differentiated from populations in west Sarawak, a genetic pattern that was also observed in C. striata (SS is located at the northern part of Sarawak and SW to the west). These studies on mtDNA produce a more reliable range of historical signatures than do contemporary events detected by nuclear markers, such as microsatellites. In this study, despite the relatively high differentiation (based on pairwise $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ values) detected between SW and the other Malaysian Borneo populations (SB, KS, PP and SS), concordant results of the NJ phylogenetic tree and STRUCTURE analyses linked all Malaysian Borneo populations as a homogenous group. These results corroborated the substantial genetic affinity among the populations as measured by the genotype frequency input for the latter analysis.
The relatively high populations pairwise comparisons $F_{\mathrm{ST}}$ and $R_{\mathrm{ST}}$ are similar to those in C. striata populations of Mekong (Adamson et al., 2012) and other freshwater fishes such as the marble trout Salmo marmoratus Cuvier 1829 (Fumagalli et al., 2002), the three-spined stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus L. 1758 (Caldera \& Bolnick, 2008) and the grass carp Ctenopharyngodon idella (Valenciennes 1844) (Liu et al., 2009). Chauhan et al. (2007) postulated that low population structuring in several riverine species, such as the Indian major carp Cirrhinus mrigala (Hamilton 1822), resulted from common ancestry and gene transfer between different river basins. The significant genetic partitioning among the majority of C. striata populations, as shown in this study, indicates non-migratory behaviour (Halls et al., 1998), which is an important consideration in planning conservation and breeding strategies.

Population pairwise $R_{\text {ST }}$ showed that TR from the central west Peninsular and the Malaysian Borneo population, PP, is somewhat homogenous. The genetic homogeneity observed over these geomorphologically distant and presently physically disconnected regions, suggests gene transfer through ancient dispersal or recent translocation. Since there is no documentation of connectivity of an ancient river system or tributaries between these two regions (Voris, 2000; Esa et al., 2006; Kamarudin \& Esa, 2009), ancient dispersal is unlikely. On the other hand, there is evidence that the shipping of live C. striata was a common practice in the 1950s. Moreover, this species had the special capability to aestivate and stay alive when transported (Schuster, 1952; Courtenay et al., 2004). Thus, available evidence indicates that anthropogenic translocation is
the most plausible explanation for the sporadic genetic propinquity between C. striata from TR and PP.
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