

EFFECT OF ETHANOL COMPOSITION IN COAGULATION
BATH ON MEMBRANE PERFORMANCE

NADZIFAH ABD RAHIM

FAKULTI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI
UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

LP
25
F01
21
2005

2005

EFFECT OF ETHANOL COMPOSITION IN THE COAGULATION BATH ON
MEMBRANE PERFORMANCE

By

Nadzifah Abd. Rahim

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Technology (Environmental)

Department of Engineering Science
Faculty of Science and Technology
KOLEJ UNIVERSITI SAINS DAN TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA
2005

1100036908



PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk:
EFFECT OF ETHANOL COMPOSITION IN COAGULATION BATH ON MEMBRANE
PERFORMANCE oleh NADZIFAH ABD RAHIM No. Matrik UK 6672 telah diperiksa
dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan
kepada Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada
keperluan memperolehi Ijazah SARJANA MUDA TEKNOLOGI (ALAM
SEKITAR), Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi, Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi
Malaysia.

Disahkan oleh:

Penyelia Utama

Nama: Dr. Nora'aini bt Ali

DR. NORA'AINI BINTI ALI

Cop Rasmi:

Pensyarah
Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 25.4.05

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

Nama: En Asmadi bin Ali

ASMADI BIN ALI @ MAHMUD

Cop Rasmi:

Pensyarah
Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi
Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 22.04.05

Ketua Jabatan Sains Kejuruteraan

Nama: PM. Ir Ahmad bin Jusoh

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: 23.04.05

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Assalamualaikum w.b.t...First of all I would like to thank to Allah for blessing me with such a wonderful life, friends and family and give me the strength that I would walk through this path to complete my research. Especially, I would like to acknowledge my supervisor, Dr. Nora'aini Ali for all the advices and comments that I would be able to perform this study with all her guidance. And also to Mr. Zul as our external advisor, thanks for everything, it would be harder without you. I would like to thank to Mr. Asmadi Ali for all his kindness and considerate during the implementation of our final project. To Mr. Mahmud, Zaki, Razman, Zali, Mazalina, Wan Azhar and Rozimi and the supporting staff, thank you so much.

I would like to express my warm feelings to my mum and dad, and my family for giving me mental and financial supports when I desperately need them. My special thanks to our membrane's group supervised under Dr. Nora'aini, finally we walked through all this pain and happiness together, and I would never forget and appreciated for all the kindness, knowledge and time that we tend to share together. I would like to give my special thanks to Ajay, thanks for always be there when I need you. To Zamz, Yan, Kak Dhuha, Cik Lie and Batman, thank you so much, I would never forget our friendship and the memories that we had shared together. I would like to thanks to all of my friends for helping me out since I stepped in KUSTEM and I really appreciated it, only Allah could repay for all the kindness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| TITLE PAGE | i |
| APPROVAL THESIS FORM | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF TABLE | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES | viii |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS / SYMBOL | x |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xiii |
| ABSTRACT | xiv |
| ABSTRAK | xv |
| | |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES |
| 1.1 | Introduction 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement 5 |
| 1.3 | Objectives 6 |
| 1.4 | Scope 6 |
| | |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW |
| 2.1 | Nanofiltration 7 |
| 2.2 | Polyethersulfone (PES) 9 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.3 | <i>N</i> -Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP) | 11 |
| 2.4 | Phase Inversion Technique | 12 |
| 2.5 | Coagulation Bath | 13 |
| 2.5.1 | <i>Choice Of Solvent/ Nonsolvent System</i> | 15 |
| 2.5.2 | <i>Effect Of Addition Solvent/Non-Solvent Composition In Coagulation Bath</i> | 15 |
| 2.5.3 | <i>Effect Of Addition Composition Bath On Membrane Morphology</i> | 16 |
| 2.5.4 | <i>Effect Of Addition Composition In Coagulation Bath On Membrane Pore Size</i> | 16 |
| 2.5.5 | <i>Effect Of Addition Composition Bath On Physical Factor: Solubility Parameter And Diffusion Coefficients</i> | 17 |
| 2.5.6 | <i>Effect Of Temperature In Coagulation Bath</i> | 19 |
| 2.6 | Ethanol | 20 |

CHAPTER 3

METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Materials | 23 |
| 3.1.1 | <i>Polyethersulfone (PES)</i> | 23 |
| 3.1.2 | <i>N</i> -Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP) | 26 |
| 3.1.3 | <i>Ethanol</i> | 27 |
| 3.1.4 | <i>Water</i> | 27 |
| 3.2 | Preparation of Dope Solution | 28 |
| 3.3 | Preparation of Asymmetric Flat Sheet Membrane | 30 |
| 3.4 | Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) | 33 |
| 3.5 | Membrane Testing | 35 |

| | | | |
|------------------|-------|---|----|
| | 3.5.1 | <i>Pure Water Flux</i> | 36 |
| | 3.5.2 | <i>Rejection</i> | 37 |
| | 3.5.3 | <i>Preparation Of NaCl Solution</i> | 38 |
| | 3.5.4 | <i>Calibration Curve</i> | 39 |
| | 3.5.5 | <i>Concentration Polarization</i> | 41 |
| CHAPTER 4 | | RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS | |
| | 4.1 | Membrane Morphology | 46 |
| | 4.2 | Membrane Performances | 50 |
| | 4.2.1 | <i>Water flux of PES Membrane</i> | 50 |
| | 4.2.2 | <i>Rejection of NaCl of PES Membrane</i> | 54 |
| | 4.3 | The Optimum Ethanol Composition In Coagulation Bath And The Best Membrane Performance | 58 |
| CHAPTER 5 | | CONCLUSION | |
| | 5.1 | Conclusions | 62 |
| | 5.2 | Recommendations | 64 |
| | | REFERENCES | 65 |
| | | APPENDICES | 70 |
| | | VITAE | 80 |

LIST OF TABLE

| TABLE | PAGE |
|--|------|
| 2.1 Summary of nanofiltration | 9 |
| 2.2 Characteristics of NMP | 12 |
| 2.3 Solubility parameters of coagulation bath | 18 |
| 2.4 Diffusion coefficients of DMAc, ethanol and water | 19 |
| 3.1 Properties of PES Radel A-300 | 25 |
| 3.2 Properties of NMP | 26 |
| 3.3 Chemical properties of ethanol | 27 |
| 3.4 The properties of water | 28 |
| 3.5 Flat sheet membrane casting conditions | 31 |
| 3.6 Ion mobilities, diffusivity, and solute radius | 44 |
| 4.1 Membrane coding of membranes at various compositions of ethanol in coagulation bath | 46 |
| 4.2 Permeability coefficient at various ethanol compositions at different pressures applied. | 53 |
| 4.3 Rejection values at various ethanol compositions in coagulation bath | 54 |
| 4.4 Relationship between permeability coefficient, NaCl flux and rejection of NaCl | 59 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | PAGE |
|--|------|
| 1.1 Removal of four major membrane processes | 2 |
| 2.1 Molecular structure of Polyethersulfone | 10 |
| 2.2 Molecular structure of <i>N</i> -Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP) | 11 |
| 2.3 The schematic of the immersion precipitation process | 14 |
| 2.4 Molecular structure of ethanol | 21 |
| 3.1 Molecular structure of Polyethersulfone | 24 |
| 3.2 Molecular structure of <i>N</i> -Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP) | 26 |
| 3.3 Molecular structure of ethanol | 27 |
| 3.4 The preparation of dope solution | 29 |
| 3.5 Dope solution bottles | 29 |
| 3.6 The electrical casting machine (Collaboration with ATRISH Sdn. Bhd.) | 30 |
| 3.7 Immersion step in coagulation bath consists of water and ethanol | 31 |
| 3.8 Flow chart of preparation of asymmetric membrane | 32 |
| 3.9 Autocoater (Model JFC 1600) equipment | 33 |
| 3.10 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) | 34 |
| 3.11 Sterlitech HP4750 Stirred Cell | 35 |
| 3.12 Apparatus set up for water flux and rejection experiment | 36 |
| 3.12a Calibration curve for NaCl concentration in the range 0 – 0.1M | 40 |
| 3.12b Calibration curve for NaCl concentration in the range of 0 – 0.01M | 40 |

| FIGURE | PAGE |
|---|-------------|
| 3.13 Concentration polarization; concentration profiles under steady-state conditions | 41 |
| 4.1 a SEM cross-section for ET 0 | 47 |
| 4.1 b SEM cross-section for ET 50 | 47 |
| 4.1 c SEM cross-section for ET 100 | 47 |
| 4.2 a Graph of fluxes versus pressures (ET 0) | 51 |
| 4.2 b Graph of fluxes versus pressures (ET 50) | 51 |
| 4.2 c Graph of fluxes versus pressures (ET 100) | 51 |
| 4.3 Graph of NaCl fluxes versus pressures | 55 |
| 4.4 The graph of rejections versus pressures | 56 |
| 4.5 Graph of rejections (%) versus flux($\times 10^{-6}$)(m ³ /m ² .sec) | 57 |
| 4.6 Differentiation of permeability coefficients for ET0, ET50 and ET100 | 60 |
| 4.7 Relationship between the rejection and the flux value according to the composition of ethanol in coagulation bath | 60 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/ SYMBOLS

ABBREVIATION

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| A | Membrane area (m^2) |
| cP | centi-Poise |
| Cf | Concentration of feed |
| Cm | Membrane surface |
| Cp | Concentration of permeate |
| Cr | Concentration of retentate |
| Cw | Concentration of wall |
| C ₂ H ₅ OH | Ethanol |
| D | Diffusion coefficients |
| $D_{i,\infty}$ | Diffusivity |
| DMAc | N,N-dimethylacetamide |
| ET | Ethanol |
| INOS | Institute of Oceanography |
| J_v | Flux ($m^3 / m^2 .s$) |
| k | Mass transfer coefficient |
| KUSTEM | Kolej Universiti Sains dan Teknologi Malaysia |
| μ_i^o | Mobility of ion |
| M | Molar |
| μm | micrometer |

ABBREVIATION

| | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| MF | Microfiltration |
| MW | Molecular weight |
| MWCO | Molecular Weight Cut-Off |
| N | Nonsolvent |
| NaCl | Sodium Chloride |
| NF | Nanofiltration |
| NMP | N-Methyl Pyrrolidone |
| OH | Hydroxyl group |
| P | Polymer |
| P_m | Permeability Coefficients |
| PES | Polyethersulfone |
| PSf | Polysulfones |
| PVDF | Polyvinylidene Diflouride |
| PWF | Pure Water Flux |
| r | Stirrer blade |
| R&D | Research and Development |
| Robs | Observation rejection |
| Rreal | Real rejection |
| RH | Relative Humidity |
| RO | Reverse Osmosis |
| S | Solvent |
| SEM | Scanning Electron Microscopy |
| SO ₄ | Sulphone group |
| TIPS | Thermally Induced Phase Separation |

ABBREVIATION

| | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|
| UF | Ultrafiltration |
| US | United States |
| ν | Kinematic viscosity |
| v/v | Volume per volume |
| w/w | Weight per weight |
| wt% | Weight percent |
| δ | The thickness of the boundary layer |
| $\delta_{i,w}$ | Solubility parameter |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDICES | PAGE |
|--|------|
| A Calculation of dope preparation | 70 |
| B Calculation of shear rate | 71 |
| C Calculation for preparation of 500 mL of 0.1 M NaCl | 72 |
| D Calculation for calibration curves and the conductivity (μm) versus concentration of NaCl (M) | 73 |
| E Ion mobilities, diffusivity and solute radius | 74 |
| F Results of Pure Water Flux | 75 |
| G Results of NaCl Rejection | 7 |

ABSTRACT

Nanofiltration (NF) is one of the famous membrane separations in worldwide. On this present investigation, the selected polymer to generate a high performance asymmetric NF membrane was Polyethersulfones (PES). The PES flat sheet membranes were casted from 21 wt % PES in *N*-Methyl Pyrrolidone (NMP) by an electrical casting machine using the phase inversion processes. The effect of coagulation medium, ethanol (0-100%) and water (100-0%) on the morphology of PES flat sheet membrane was studied using the SEM at INOS, KUSTEM. While the performances of the PES membrane were obtained from the pure water flux (PWF) experiment and salt solution rejection experiment. An attempt has been made to correlate the effect of ethanol composition in coagulation bath on membrane performance, its morphology and the properties of this flat sheet membrane. The results obtained of permeability coefficient for pressure in the range of 0-10 bar for ET 0, ET 50 and ET 100 were about, 11.971, 8.464, and 3.0823 ($\text{m}^3/\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{MPa}$), respectively. The sequence of permeability coefficient, P_m was as followed: $P_m \text{ ET } 0 > P_m \text{ ET } 50 > P_m \text{ ET } 100$. Meanwhile the rejections range for ET 0, ET 50 and ET 100 were about 0-10%, 0-17%, and 0-20%, respectively and the following order, the rejection rate: $\text{ET } 0 < \text{ET } 50 < \text{ET } 100$. The morphology of membranes were vary from finger-like to sponge-like with the increased of ethanol composition in coagulation bath. From this research, the higher ethanol composition created thick skin layer, the water fluxes were declined when rejection were enhanced. Meanwhile the lower composition of ethanol resulted the thin skin layer on the membrane, the water fluxes were enhanced and rejections were declined. For the optimum composition of ethanol, ET 50 was almost near to the optimum range which is 40-50% of ethanol composition in coagulation bath. For the best membrane performance, ET 50 was selected due to the criteria of high rejection with moderate flux, which suitable for the industrial application.

ABSTRAK

Penuras nano (NF) ialah salah satu cara pemisahan membran yang semakin mendapat tempat di seluruh dunia. Kajian yang dijalankan ini memilih Polietersulfona (PES) sebagai bahan polimer untuk menghasilkan membran asimetrik NF yang berprestasi tinggi. Membran kepingan rata dari bahan PES berkuantiti 21 wt % di dalam N-Metil Pirolidon (NMP) diacu dengan menggunakan mesin pengacuan elektrik yang menjalankan proses fasa balikan. Kesan medium pengentalan iaitu etanol (0-100%) dan air (100-0%) pada struktur membrane kepingan rata PES dikaji menggunakan SEM di INOS, KUSTEM. Sementara itu, prestasi membrane PES dikaji menggunakan persamaan fluks air tulen (PWF) dan formula penyingkiran. Kajian yang akan dijalankan ini menghubungkan kaitkan kesan campuran etanol dalam perendaman pengentalan terhadap prestasi, struktur dan ciri-ciri membrane kepingan rata tersebut. Keputusan fluks air tulen yang diperolehi adalah untuk ET 0, ET 50 dan ET 100 di antara 3.30×10^{-6} m/s, 8.70×10^{-6} m/s and 11.23×10^{-6} m/s, mengikut turutan. Manakala turutan fluks air tulen ialah seperti berikut: ET 100 > ET 50 > ET 100. Julat penyingkiran yang diperolehi dalam kajian ini untuk ET 0, ET 50 dan ET 100 adalah di antara 0-10%, 0-17% dan 0-20%, mengikut turutan dan kadar penyingkiran adalah seperti berikut: ET 0 > ET 50 > ET 100. Morfologi membran yang dihasilkan adalah di antara bentuk *finger-like* hinggalah *sponge-like* dengan meningkatnya komposisi etanol di dalam perendaman pengentalan. Daripada kajian ini, apabila kandungan etanol tinggi di dalam air, ia akan menghasilkan membran berlapis luar yang tebal, pekali kebolehtelapan dan kadar fluks NaCl berkurang dan penyingkiran akan semakin meningkat. Sebaliknya berlaku apabila kandungan etanol yang rendah dalam air akan menghasilkan membran yang berlapis luar nipis, pekali kebolehtelapan dan kadar fluks NaCl akan meningkat dan penyingkiran akan semakin berkurangan. Komposisi optimum ethanol dalam perendaman pengentalan adalah di antara julat 40-50% dimana ET 50 menghampiri julat ini. Untuk membran berprestasi terbaik, ET 50 telah dipilih berikutan memenuhi kriteria mempunyai penyingkiran tinggi dan fluks sederhana, yang sesuai untuk aplikasi dalam industri.