

USING SINGLE VIDEO CENSUS TO SURVEY
CORAL REEF FISH

KHAIRULYISA BINTI REDZWAN

FACULTY OF MARITIME STUDIES AND MARINE SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

2007

LP
19
FMSM
1
2007

C/N 5138

1100054050

Perpustakaan Sultanah Nur Zahirah (UMT)
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu



LP 19 FMSM 1 2007



1100054050
Using single video census to survey coral reef fish / Khairulnisa
Redzwan.

PERPUSTAKAAN SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU (UMT)
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

1100054050		

Lihat sebelah

HAK MILIK
PERPUSTAKAAN SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH UMT

USING SINGLE VIDEO CENSUS TO SURVEY CORAL REEF FISH

By

Khairulnisa Redzwan

**Research report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the degree of
Bachelor of Science (Marine Biology)**

**Department of Marine Science
Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
2007**

1100054050

This project should be cited as:

Khairulnisa, R. 2007. Using single video census to survey coral reef fish. Undergraduate thesis. Bachelor of Science (Marine Biology). Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu. 72 p.

No part of this report may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without writtenn permission from the author and the supervisors of the project.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research was possible with the guidance from the lecturers of Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science, particularly supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Liew Hock Chark and Mr. Amirrudin Ahmad (Faculty of Science and Technology); Final Year Project Coordinator, Dr. Juanita Joseph; and Marine Biology Programme Coordinator, Dr. Siti Aishah Abdullah.

The author would like to acknowledge the support from the management of Laguna Redang Beach Resort for permission to use their facilities; and the administration of Faculty of Agrotechnology and Food Science for permission to follow their trip for sampling.

The author would like to thank Anatomy and Physiology Laboratory and Biodiversity Laboratory staffs, notably Mr. Johari Mohd. Nor and Mr. Mohd. Zan for aiding pre- and post-sampling procedures. Special thanks to Mr. Sharol Ali for your assistance throughout the research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
LIST OF TABLES	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Objectives	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Assessment and management	5
2.2 Assessment of fish population	6
2.3 Fish assessment methods	6
2.4 Capture methods	6
2.4.1 Trapping	6
2.4.2 Trawling/netting	8
2.4.3 Hook and line	9
2.4.4 Explosives/ichthyocides	10
2.5 Observational methods	11
2.5.1 Underwater Visual Census (UVC)	12
2.5.2 Hydroacoustics	16
2.5.3 Underwater videos	17

2.6 Coral reef fish assessment	22
2.7 Feasibility of single video census for tropical reef fishes	23
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	25
3.1 Site selection/study area	25
3.2 General sampling methods	27
3.3 General data analysis	29
3.4 Test 1: Optimal sampling time	29
3.5 Test 2: Transect requirement	30
3.6 Test 3: Sampling feasibility	31
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS	32
4.1 Species occurrence and abundance	32
4.2 Test 1: Optimal sampling time	42
4.3 Test 2: Transect requirement	44
4.4 Test 3: Sampling feasibility	46
CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION	50
5.1 Species occurrence and abundance	50
5.2 Test 1: Optimal sampling time	51
5.3 Test 2: Transect requirement	52
5.4 Test 3: Sampling feasibility	53
5.5 Possible improvements	53
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION	57
REFERENCES	59
APPENDICES	66
CURRICULUM VITAE	72

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Advantages and disadvantages of common underwater video techniques and examples of studies that have used or reported on the method.	20
3.1 The summary of sampling efforts for the whole study.	29
4.1 No. of individuals for each species observed in sample A1 (with-transect method) of Pulau Redang (Sept 3-5, 2006)	33
4.2 No. of individuals for each species observed in sample A2 (with-transect method) of Pulau Redang (Sept 21-23, 2006)	34
4.3 No. of individuals for each species observed in sample A3 (without-transect method) of Pulau Redang (Sept 21-23, 2006)	35
4.4 No. of individuals for each species observed in sample B1 (with-transect method) of Pulau Bidong (March 1-3, 2007)	36
4.5 No. of individuals for each species observed in sample B2 (with-transect method) of Pulau Bidong (March 1-3, 2007)	37
4.6 The mean abundance, standard deviation and frequency of species occurrence in samples A2 (with transect) and sample A3 (without transect).	40
4.7 Frequency of species occurrence for samples A1, A2, B1 and B2.	42
4.8 The results of analyses (Spearman rank correlation and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests) of samples A1, A2, B1 and B2, paired for within-site and between-sites comparisons.	44

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
3.1 The location of Pulau Redang and Pulau Bidong (named Pulau Bidung herein) off the coast of Terengganu, Malaysia.	26
3.2 The location of Site A in Pulau Redang.	26
3.3 The location of Site B in Pulau Bidong.	27
4.1 Photos of several identified fish species captured from video samples.	33
4.2 Photos of unidentified species captured from video samples.	35
4.3 The detectability curve for samples A1, A2 and A3 in time of sampling (h:mm:ss) for both with- and without-transect methods.	43

ABSTRACT

Unlike the commonly practiced Underwater Visual Census (UVC), the potential of using video for reef fish assessment is still not widely acknowledged. A study was designed to analyse the optimal dive time required in a video census survey, to investigate the advantages and disadvantages of utilising transect strip for video survey, and to identify the appropriate techniques of video census for coral reef fish survey. When transect is applied, a strip instead of a line was created (50 m x 2 m framework). For without-transect method, video were captured in a random line. Detectability curves showed that the transect method requires more sample (four to five samples) to obtain the maximum number of species than the no-transect method (two to three samples). The total abundance of species observed with the no-transect method is substantially higher and it gave more precise species counts than the transect method. Both within-site comparisons are highly correlated (Spearman's rho = 0.866 and 0.825). Meanwhile, both between-sites comparisons, although also highly correlated (Spearman's rho = 0.762 and 0.677), are lower than within-site correlations and still have significant differences ($p < 0.05$). Using single video to survey the reef fishes is practical to assess the changes of fish assemblages but require further technical improvements before being implemented.