STUDY ON COASTAL EROSION VARIABILITY AND SEDIMENT MOVEMENT AS RESPONSE TO COASTAL DEVELOPMENT AT KERTEH AND KEMAMAN BEACH

KHAIRUL RIJAL BIN BAHRULAZMI

FACULTY OF MARITIME STUDIES AND MARINE SCIENCE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU 2012



LP 11 FMSM 3 2012



1100088882

Study on coastal erosion variability and sediment movement as response to coastal development at Kerteh and Kemaman beach Khairul Rijal Bahrulazmi.

PERPUSTARAAN SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANI (UMI) 21830 SILAH A TERENGGANI

	1100088	\$82
1.4	1	
***************************************	· ·	
		191
1 E		

Lihatsebelefr

STUDY ON COASTAL EROSION VARIABILITY AND SEDIMENT MOVEMENT AS RESPONSE TO COASTAL DEVELOPMENT AT KERTEH AND KEMAMAN BEACH

By

Khairul Rijal Bin Bahrulazmi

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Marine Science

Department Of Marine Science
Faculty of Maritime Studies And Marine Science
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

To and Sprague the American transfer to the term of the 2012 can be be so that the country of the

This project report should be cited as:

Khairul, BA. 2012. A study on coastal erosion variability and sediment movement as response to coastal development at Kerteh and Kemaman beach. Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Science (Marine Science), Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu, Terengganu. 100p.

No part of this project report may be reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in a retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor(s) of the project





DEPARTMENT OF MARINE SCIENCE FACULTY OF MARITIME STUDIES AND MARINE SCIENCE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

DECLARATION AND VERIFICATION REPORT

FINAL YEAR RESEARCH PROJECT

It is hereby declared and verified that this research report entitled: A study on coastal erosion variability and sediment movement as response to coastal development at kerteh and kemaman beach by Khairul Rijal Bin Bahrulazmi, Matric No. Uk 21253 have been examined and all errors identified have been corrected. This report is submitted to the Department of Marine Science as partial fulfillment towards obtaining the Degree Bachelor of Science (Marine Science), Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Verified by:	
fren	
Principal Supervisor	
Name: Prof. Madya Dr. Kos	nan Yaajob
Name: Prof. Madya Dr. 608 Official stamp: PROF. MADYA DR. ROSNAN BIN YAAO Ketua	Date: 17-6-2012
Jabatan Sains Marin Fakulti Pengajian Maritim dan Sains Mar Universit Maiaysia Terengganu	••
Second Supervisor (where ap	oplicable)
Name:	
Official stamp:	Date:
fren	
Head of Department of Mari	ne Science
Name: Prof. Madya Dr. Rosi	
Official stamp:	Date: 17-6-2012
PROF. MADYA DR. ROSNAN BIN YAACO Ketua Jabatan Sains Marin	

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu 21030 Kuala Terengganu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Alhamdulillah.....Thanks to Allah for giving me strongest, time, opportunity and patience to completed this thesis.

The first thing is, I would like to extend my most sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Rosnan Bin Yaacob for his guidance, support, generosity and encouragement towards the exertion to accomplish this thesis. Without him, this project might have been completed successfully. Besides that, I would like to thank Dr. Nor Antonina Abdullah for her advice, patience and also her coordination for my project.

Other than that, I would like to take this opportunity to affirm my heartfelt gratitude and appreciation to Mr. Effi Helmi, Mr. Saibol, Mr. Zaini, Mrs. Aisyah and to all my friends that has been help me in order of sampling or during writing this thesis with their knowledge, ideas, guidance and also sharing their time to complete this project. I would also like to thanks to Mr. Sulaiman, Mr. Raja and Mr. Syed from Oceanography laboratory for give me a good co-operation during my time at the lab and during borrowing item from the lab for sampling purposes. My deepest gratitude goes to my family that always support me and motivated myself to success in life.

Besides that, I would like to express my sincere thank you to my team members, Amir, Syafiq and Haziq and also Mr. Effi Helmi and Mr. Saibol for helping me during the sampling activities.

For the last one, I would like to thank to all person that who had involved directly or in directly during the completion of this project. Thank you.

ACK	NOWL	EDGMENT	i
TABL	E OF	CONTENTS	ii
LIST	OF TA	ABLES	v
LIST	OF FI	GURES	vii
LIST	OF AE	BBREVIATIONS	X
LIST	OF AP	PPENDICES	xi
ABST	RACT	•	xii
ABST	RAK		xiii
TABL	E OF	CONTENTS	
1.0	INTR	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Objectives	2
	1.2	Justification	2
2.0	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	3
	2.1	Beach	3
2.1.1	Beacl	h profile	3
2.1.2	Beacl	h steepness	4
2.1.3	Beacl	h erosion	4
2.1.4	Coas	t	5
2.2	Sedi	mentology	6
2.2.1	Sediment		6
2.2.2	Sedin	nent sources	6
2.2.3	Sedin	nent transportation	7
2.3	Depo	sition	8
2.4	Sedin	nent movement and Net Shore Drift	

2.5	Mons	oon	10
2.6	Physic	cal factor	10
2.6.1	Tides		10
2.6.2	Wave	S	11
2.6.3	Curre	ents	11
2.6.4	Wind	s	12
3.0	MET	HODOLOGY	13
	3.1	Study area	14
	3.2		18
	3.3	Dry sieving method	19
		3.3.1 Statistic Calculation	19
		3.3.2 Mean (XØ)	20
		3.3.3 Standard Deviation (σØ)	21
		3.3.4 Skewness (SkØ)	22
		3.3.5 Kurtosis (KØ)	22
4.0	RESU	JLT	24
	4.1	Analysis Physical Parameter	24
		4.1.1 Precipitation	24
		4.1.2 Wind	27
	4.2	Beach Profile Analysis	29
		4.2.1 Beach Profile	29
		4.2.2 Beach Steepness	37
	4.3	Grain Size Analysis	39
		4.3.1 Mean size	39
		4.3.2 Sorting	45
		4.3.3 Skewness	41

		4.3.4 Kurtosis	57
	4.4	Net Shore Drift	63
		4.4.1 Grain Size Distribution	63
		4.4.2 Beach slope	66
		4.4.3 Beach width	68
5.0	DISC	CUSSION	70
	5.1	Beach Profile	70
	5.2	Grain Size Analysis	75
		5.2.1 Mean	75
		5.2.2 Sorting	78
		5.2.3 Skewness	81
		5.2.4 Kurtosis	84
	5.3	Net Shore Drift	87
		5.3.1 Grain Size Distribution	87
		5.3.2 Beach Slope	91
		5.3.3 Beach Width	91
6.0	CON	NCLUSION	92
7.0	REF	TERENCES	94
8.0	APP	ENDICES	97
9.0	CUR	RICULUM VITAE	100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	PAGE
3.2.1: Coordinates of Kerteh beach sampling stations.	16
3.2.2: Coordinates of Mak nik beach sampling stations	17
4.1.1(a): Statistical Average Precipitation of Kuala Terengganu	25
4.1.2 (a): Average of Wind Speed	27
4.2.2.1 (a): Beach Steepness	37
4.2.2.2 (a): Beach Steepness	38
4.3.1.1 (a): Mean Value Jun 2011 and March 2012	40
4.3.1.2 (a): Mean Value May and December 2011	43
4.3.2.1 (a): Sorting Value for Jun 2011 and March 2012	46
4.3.2.2 (a): Sorting Value May and December 2011	49
4.3.3.1 (a): Skewness Value for Jun 2011 and March 2012	52
4.3.3.2 (a): Skewness Value for May and December 2011	55
4.3.4.1 (a): Kurtosis Value Jun 2011 and March 2012	- 58
4.3.4.2 (a): Kurtosis Value May and December 2011	61
4.4.1.1 (a): Mean and Sorting in Mid tide during Jun 2011 and	64
March 2012 (Phi)	

4.4.1.1 (b): Average of Mean and Sorting in Mid tide during Jun 2011	64
and March 2012 (Phi)62	
4.4.1.2 (a): Mean and Sorting in Mid tide during May and December 2011 (Phi)	65
4.4.1.1 (b): Average of Mean and Sorting in Mid tide during Jun and	65
December 2011 (Phi)	
4.4.2.1 (a): Order of Beach Slope Degree	66
4.4.2.2 (a): Order of Beach Slope Degree	67
4.4.3.1 (a): Order of Beach Width	68
4.4.3.2 (a): Order of Beach Width	69
5.1.1 (a): Comparison of Slope Degree Jun 2011 and March 2012	72
5.1.2 (a): Comparison of Slope Degree May and December2011	74
5.3.1.1 (a): Order of Station According to Average Mean Value (Mid Tide)	88
of Kerteh Beach	
5.3.1.1 (b): Order of Station According to Average Mean Value (Mid Tide)	88
of Mak Nik Beach	
5.3.1.2 (a): Order of Station According to Average Sorting Value (Mid Tide)	90
of Kerteh Beach	
5.3.1.2 (b): Order of Station According to Average Sorting Value (Mid Tide)	90
of Mak Nik Beach	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	PAGE
3.1.1 Location of station at Kerteh beach study area	14
3.1.2: Location of station at Mak Nik beach study area	15
4.1.1 (b): Distribution of Precipitation from June 2011 until March 2012	26
4.1.2 (b): Wind Speed Distribution	28
4.2.1.1 (a): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 1	30
4.2.1.1 (b): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 2	30
4.2.1.1 (c): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 3	31
4.2.1.1 (d): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 4	31
4.2.1.1 (e): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 5	32
4.2.1.1 (f): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 6	32
4.2.1.2 (a): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 1	34
4.2.1.2 (b): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 2	34
4.2.1.2 (c): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 3	35
4.2.1.2 (d): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 4	35
4.2.1.2 (e): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 5	36

4.2.1.2 (f): Differences between first and second sampling at Station 6	36
4.3.1.1 (a): Comparison of Mean Value Jun 2011 and March 2012	41
4.3.1.2 (b): Comparison of Mean Value May and December 2011	44
4.3.2.1 (b): Comparison of Sorting for Jun 2011 and March 2012	47
4.3.2.2 (b): Comparison of Sorting May and December 2011	50
4.3.3.1 (b): Skewness Value for Jun 2011 and March 2012	53
4.3.3.2 (b): Skewness Value for May and December 2011	56
4.3.4.1 (b): Comparison of Kurtosis Jun 2011 and March 2012	59
4.3.4.2 (b): Comparison of Kurtosis May and December 2011	62
5.1.1 (b): Comparison of slope Degree Jun 2011 and March 2012	72
5.1.2 (b): Comparison of slope Degree May and December 2011	74
5.1.2 (a): Percentage Mean Type Value Jun 2011 and March 2012	76
of Kerteh Beach	
5.1.2 (b): Percentage Mean Type Value May and December 2011	77
of Mak Nik Beach	
5.2.2 (a): Percentage Sorting Type Value in Jun 2011 and March 2012	7 9
of Kerteh Reach	

5.2.2 (b): Percentage Sorting Type Value in May and December 2011	80
of Mak Nik Beach	
5.2.3 (a): Percentage Skewness Type Value in Jun 2011 and March 2012	82
of Kerteh Beach	
5.2.3 (b): Percentage Skewness Type Value in May and December 2011	83
of Mak Nik Beach	
5.2.4 (a): Percentage Kurtosis Type Value in Jun 2011 and March 2012	85
of Kerteh Beach	
5.2.4 (b): Percentage Kurtosis Type Value in May and December 2011	86
of Mak Nik Beach	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/SYMBOLS

SYMBOLS

Km = (kilometer)

° = (Degree)

m = (meter)

cm = (centimeter)

mm = (millimeter)

g = (gram)

% = (percentage)

 Φ = (Phi)

N = (north)

E = (east)

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
1. Grain size Classification	95
2. Instrument used in study	97

ABSTRACT

A study on erosion on beach profile and sediment characteristics was done along the Kerteh and Mak Nik beach. Sampling activity for Kerteh area was conducted on Jun 2011 and March 2012 while for Mak Nik area, the sampling was conducted on May and December 2011. Each beach was divided into 6 stations with interval for both area was 500 meter from a station to another station. Sampling of beach profile was carried out from berm that has first vegetation area until low tide area. Regarding sediment analysis, it was done by collecting about more than 100g of sediment for each high, mid and low tide. The beach profile changes continuously by time and the environment surrounded. In this study, each of the study sites shows different result such as mean and beach slope. This shows that which beach has more undergo process erosion and deposition. Furthermore, the study also noticed that there are some of physical parameters which influenced the environment such as wind speed, direction of wind, current and direction of sediment movement.

ABSTRAK

Kajian hakisan terhadap profil pantai dan ciri-ciri sedimen telah dijalankan di pantai Kerteh dan Mak Nik. Setiap pantai kajian dibahagikan kepada 6 station. Aktiviti penyampelan untuk kawasan Kerteh telah dijalankan pada bulan Jun 2011 dan March 2012 manakala bagi kawasan Mak Nik, penyampelan telah dijalankan pada bulan Mei dan Disember 2011. Setiap kawasan pantai dibahagikan kepada 6 stesyen dan jarak di antara stesyen untuk kedua-dua kawasan pantai adalah 500meter. Penyampelan untuk profil pantai dijalankan bermula daripada kawasan berm yang mempunyai kawasan tumbuhan yang pertama tumbuh sehingga ke kawasan 'low tide'. Merujuk kepada analisis sedimen, ianya telah dijalankan dengan mengambil sampel pasir sebanyak 100 g atau lebih untuk setiap bahagian 'high tide', 'mid tide' dan 'low tide'. Bentuk pantai telah berubah mengikut masa. Dalam kajian ini, ianya telah menunjukkan yang setiap kawasan kajian pantai mempunyai keputusan yang berlainan seperti min dan kecerunan pantai. Lebih-lebih lagi, kajian ini turut mengenal pasti beberapa parameter fizikal yang mempengaruhi persekitaran seperti halaju angin, arah tiupan angin, arus dan arah pergerakan sedimen.