

DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGYBAR INCORPORATION KINUN BETIK  
*Cucumis melo*) HARD JELLY AND DRIED MANGO  
(*Mangifera indica*)

MAZLINA BINTI TAJUDDIN

FACULTY OF AGROTECHNOLOGY AND FOOD SCIENCES  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU  
2008

1100090102

Pusat Pembelajaran Digital Sultanah Nur Zahirah (PPD)  
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu



LP 18 FASM 2 2008



1100090102

Development of energy bar incorporation timun betik (cucumis melo) hard jelly and dried mango (*mangifera indica*) / Norasiah Tajuddin.

PUSAT PEMBELAJARAN DIGITAL SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU (UMT)  
21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

1109090102

1100090102

Lihat Sebelah

**DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGY BAR INCORPORATION  
*TIMUN BETIK* (*Cucumis melo*) HARD JELLY AND DRIED  
MANGO (*Mangifera indica*)**

**NORASIAH BINTI TAJUDDIN**

**FAKULTI AGROTEKNOLOGI DAN SAINS MAKANAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU  
2008**

**DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGY BAR INCORPORATION *TIMUN BETIK* (*Cucumis melo*) HARD JELLY AND DRIED MANGO (*Mangifera indica*)**

By  
**Norasiah bt Tajuddin**

**Research Report submitted in partial fulfilment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Bachelor of Food Science (Food Service and Nutrition)**

**Department of Food Science  
FACULTY OF AGROTECHNOLOGY AND FOOD SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU  
2008**



**FAKULTI AGROTEKNOLOGI DAN SAINS MAKANAN  
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU**

**PENGAKUAN DAN PENGESAHAN LAPORAN  
PROJEK PENYELIDIKAN I DAN II**

Adalah ini diakui dan disahkan bahawa laporan penyelidikan bertajuk:

DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGYBAR INCORPORATION TIMUN BETIK  
(*Eucumis melo*) HARD JELLY AND DRIED MANGO (*Mangifera indica*)

oleh NORASIAH BT TAJUDDIN, No.Matrik 4K 11841

telah diperiksa dan semua pembetulan yang disarankan telah dilakukan. Laporan ini dikemukakan kepada Jabatan SAINS MAKANAN

sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan memperolehi Ijazah Sarjana Muda SAINS MAKANAN (PERKHIDMATAN MAKANAN & PEMAKANAN),

Fakulti Agroteknologi dan Sains Makanan, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Disahkan oleh:

Penyelia Utama

Nama:

FISAL HJ. AHMAD

*Lecturer*

Department of Food Science  
Faculty of Agrotechnology and Food Science  
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu  
21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Tarikh: 21/12/2008

Penyelia Kedua (jika ada)

Nama:

Cop Rasmi

Tarikh: .....

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this research project is based on my original work except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that this thesis has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at University Malaysia Terengganu (UMT) or other institutions.

Signature : Norashah

Name : NORASIAH BT TAJUDDIN

Matrik no : UK11841

Date : 21/12/2008

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to extend my deepest and warmest gratitude to my supervisor, En Fisal bin Ahmad for his guidance, advice, support and constructive criticism in helping me accomplish this research project.

My heartfelt thank you to the Head of Food science Department, Prof Madya Dr. Amiza Mat Amin, all the lecturers who have corresponded with me to point out errors and offer suggestions. Thank you also to all the staffs of Food Science Department especially the staffs of Food Science chemical laboratory and Food Service laboratory for their cooperation, patience, assistance and kindness throughout this research.

This project is also dedicated to my families whom have given unceasing support and love to make this project possible.

I would also like to thank my lovely friends who have lend a helping hand in to complete research. Thank you also to other unnamed individuals for support, encouragement and help throughout the course of this project.

## ABSTRACT

This study was conducted to develop two new product which are *timun betik* hard jelly and energy bar incorporation *timun betik* hard jelly and dried mango. This study also aims to determine the sensory acceptance of timun betik hard jelly and energy bar and to determine the physical analysis and proximate analysis of acceptable energy bar. The *timun betik* hard jellies were prepared using four different formulations which differ in term of fruit juice and water contain. Both two variables were calculated as 100 % in this preparation. The levels of fruit juice used were decreased for each formulation from 100 %, 85 %, 70 %, and 55 %. While in preparation of energy bar, there are five different formulations which differ in percentage of hard jelly and dried mango used. Both variable percentages were calculated for 100%. Level of hard jelly used was increased for each formulation from 20 %, 35%, 50%, 65%and 80 %. In contrast for dried mango decreased for each formulation from 80%, 65%, 50%, 35% and 20 %. The sensory evaluation for *timun betik* hard jelly was carried out first before do the sensory acceptance of energy bar to choose the best formulation and used in preparation of energy bar. An affective test using the 7-point hedonic scale was conducted for both sensory. Statistical Analysis System (SAS) was used to determine the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at probability level of 5 %. The acceptable energy bar was analyzed for proximate analysis and physical analysis. Results show that, for sensory acceptance of *timun betik* hard jelly show that most of attributes there is no significant different among formulation. Formulation A was used in preparation energy bar in term of Formulation A had highest mean score for each attributes. Result for sensory acceptance of energy bar show that the formulation A was accepted by consumer. The result for color analysis indicates that the energy bar had brighter and more to yellowness color. While the result for proximate analysis were 11.21% of moisture content, 14.9 % of ash content, 7.1 % of protein content, 2.07 % of fat content, 2.73% of fiber content and 61.99% of carbohydrate content. Result in this study indicate that the energy bar can classified as energy bar high in carbohydrate because the carbohydrate content in this energy bar was highest than protein and fat content.

## ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dilakukan untuk menghasilkan dua produk iaitu jeli keras daripada timun betik dan ‘*energy bar*’ daripada gabungan jeli keras dan mangga kering. Kajian ini juga bertujuan untuk mengenalpasti tahap penerimaan pengguna terhadap produk tersebut dan untuk menilai cirri-ciri kimia dan fizikal bagi ‘*energy bar*’ yang paling diterima oleh pengguna. Jeli keras dari timun betik dihasilkan melalui 4 formulasi yang mana berbeza pada peratusan penggunaan jus buah dan kuantiti air. Kedua pemboleh ubah dikira sebagai seratus peratus dalam penyediaan produk ini. Peratus penggunaan jus buah menurun bagi setiap formulasi dari 100%, 85%, 70% dan 55%. Manakala dalam penyediaan ‘*energy bar*’, terdapat lima formulasi yang berbeza pada penggunaan jeli keras dan mangga kering. Kedua-dua pemboleh ubah juga dikira sebagai seratus peratus. Peratus penggunaan jeli keras meningkat dengan setiap formulasi dari 20%, 35%, 50%, 65% dan 80%. Berbeza pula dengan penggunaan mangga kering, menurun dengan setiap formulasi dari 80%, 65%, 50%, 35% dan 20%. Penilaian deria untuk jeli keras daripada timun betik dilakukan terlebih dahulu untuk menentukan formulasi yang terbaik dan digunakan dalam penyedian ‘*energy bar*’. Ujian afektif menggunakan skala hedonik 7-poin dilakukan bagi kedua-dua penilaian produk. Statistical Analysis System (SAS) digunakan untuk melakukan analysis varians (ANOVA) dan Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Bagi formulasi ‘*energy bar*’ yang diterima akan dilakukan analisis kimia dan analisis fizikal. Keputusan untuk penerimaan pengguna terhadap jeli keras menunjukkan kebanyakkan ciri-ciri yang dinilai tidak mempunyai perbezaan secara signifikan antara setiap formulasi. Formulasi A digunakan dalam penyediaan ‘*energy bar*’ kerana formulasi tersebut mempunyai skor min yang tertinggi berbanding formulasi lain bagi setiap ciri yang dinilai. Manakala bagi penilaian tahap penerimaan ‘*energy bar*’, formulasi A adalah lebih diterima. Analisis warna bagi ‘*energy bar*’ menunjukkan produk ini mempunyai warna yang gelap dan lebih kepada warna kekuningan. Manakala untuk penilaian secara kimia, kandungan kelembapan dalam produk adalah 11.21%, kandungan abu adalah 14.9%, kandungan protein adalah 7.1%, kandungan lemak adalah 2.07%, kandungan serabut adalah 2.73% dan kandungan karbohidrat adalah 61.99%. Keputusan menunjukkan ‘*energy bar*’ di kelaskan dalam ‘*energy bar*’ yang tinggi dengan karbohidrat di sebabkan kandungan karbohidratnya adalah tinggi daripada kandungan protein dan lemak.