EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CYROPROTECTANTS FOR SPERMATOZOA CYROPRESERVATION OF ORANGE MUD CRAB, Scylla olivacea (HERBST, 1796)

TENG PHEI YIN

EACHLTY OF MARITIME STUDIES AND MARINE SCIENCE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

LP 46 FMSM 3 2011

2011

1100088865

1- 8660

LP 46 FMSM 3 2011



1100088865 Effect of different cyroprotectants for spermatozoa cyropreservation of orange mud crab, Scylla olivacea (Herbst, 1796) / Teng Phei Yin.



1100088865

PERPUSTAKAAN SULTANAH NUR ZAHRAH URBYERSTI MALATSIA PERENGGANU 21030 KUALA TERENGGANU

HAK MILIK PERPUSTAKAAN SULTANAH NUR ZAHIRAH UMT

Lihetsebeleh

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT CYROPROTECTANTS FOR SPERMATOZOA CYROPRESERVATION OF ORANGE MUD CRAB, Scylla olivacea (HERBST, 1796)

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Teng Phei Yin

Research Report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Science (Marine Biology)

Department of Marine Science
Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science
UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU
2011

This project report should be cited as:

Teng, P. Y. 2011. Effect of different cryoprotectants for spermatozoa cryopreservation of orange mud crab, *Scylla olivacea* (Herbst, 1796). Undergraduate thesis, Bachelor of Science in Marine Biology. Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science. Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Terengganu. 48p.

No part of this project maybe reproduced by any mechanical, photographic, or electronic process, or in the form of phonographic recording, nor may it be stored in retrieval system, transmitted, or otherwise copied for public or private use, without written permission from the author and the supervisor(s) of the project.





DEPARTMENT OF MARINE SCIENCE

FACULTY OF MARITIME STUDIES AND MARINE SCIENCE UNIVERSITI MALAYSIA TERENGGANU

DECLARATION AND VERIFICATION REPORT

FINAL YEAR RESEARCH PROJECT

It is hereby declared and verified that this research report entitled:

Effect of Different Cryoprotectants for Spermatozoa Cryopreservation of Orange Mud Crab, Scylla olivacea (Herbst, 1796) by Teng Phei Yin, Matric No. UK16706 have been examined and all errors identified have been corrected. This report is submitted to the Department of Marine Science as partial fulfillment towards obtaining the Degree Bachelor of Science Marine Biology, Faculty of Maritime Studies and Marine Science, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu.

Verified by:

DR. MUHAMMAD KHWANUDDIN @ POLITY BIN ARDULLAH

Lecturer Institute of Tropika Aquaculture Universiti Malaysia Terengganu 21030 Kuala Terengganu.

Principal Supervisor

Name: Dr. Muhammad Ikhwanuddin @ Polity Bin Abdullah

Official stamp:

Date: 27.4.2011

Second Supervisor

Name: Dr. Safiah BiDRJaSAEIAH 3T JASMANI

Pensyarah

Official stamp:

Institut Akuakultur Tropika

Universiti Malaysia Terengganu 21030 Kuala Terengganu

Head of Department of Marine Science

Name: Dr. Razak bin Zakariya

Official stamp:

DR. RAZAK ZAKARIYA Ketua Jabatan Sains Marin

Fakulti Pengajian Maritim dan Sains Marin Universiti Malaysia Terengganu (UMT)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to express my heartfelt appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Mhd. Ikhwanuddin who has patiently rendered invaluable assistance, constructive comments, advice and guidance throughout the study and preparation of this project paper. My appreciation is also extended to my second supervisor, Dr. Safiah Jasmani for her advice and assistance.

Very special thanks and deep gratitude are accorded to Institute of Tropical Aquaculture (AKUATROP), Institute of Marine Biotechnology (IMB) and Makmal Biodiversity officers who provided laboratory assistance throughout the course of the study.

I also extend my heartfelt thanks to my coursemates, especially Low Si En, Chong Chin Kian, Gan Yew Sun, Yee Woei Shyan, Chark Ling Wei and Gan Sze Hoon who have been very helpful throughout the duration of the study. "Thank You" for always willing to accompany me for day and night in the laboratory during the study period and helped me in so many ways to make this study successful.

Lastly, I would like to express my gratitude to my most beloved family; my Mum and Dad, brother and sisters for their endless love, support and encouragement throughout my study in the university. I love you very much and thanks for everything.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----|--|------|
| ACF | KNOLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| LIS | Γ OF TABLES | vi |
| LIS | T OF FIGURES | vii |
| LIS | Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS | viii |
| LIS | Γ OF APPENDICES | х |
| ABS | STRACT | xi |
| ABS | STRAK | xii |
| CH | APTER 1: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background of study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem statements and justification | 3 |
| 1.3 | Objectives of study | 5 |
| | | |
| CH. | APTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| 2.1 | Taxonomy of Orange Mud crab, Scylla olivacea | 6 |
| 2.2 | Morphology | 6 |
| 2.3 | Sexual and reproduction characteristics | 9 |
| | 2.3.1 Male reproductive tract morphology | 9 |
| | 2.3.2 Reproduction | 11 |
| 2.4 | Cryopreservation | 13 |
| | 2.4.1 Extender | 17 |
| | 2.4.2 Cryoprotectant | 17 |

| CHA | CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY | |
|-----|---|----|
| 3.1 | Study site | |
| 3.2 | Broodstock management | |
| 3.3 | Collection of samples | |
| 3.4 | Preparation of extender | |
| 3.5 | Preparation of cryoprotectant | |
| 3.6 | Cryopreservation of spermatozoa | 21 |
| | 3.6.1 Initial cooling | 21 |
| | 3.6.2 Thawing | 23 |
| 3.7 | Cryopreserved spermatozoal viability assessment | 23 |
| 3.8 | Statistic analysis | 24 |
| | | |
| CH | APTER 4: RESULTS | 25 |
| 4.1 | Sperm density | 25 |
| 4.2 | Percentage viability of fresh sperm | 26 |
| 4.3 | Effects of cryoprotectants and densities on sperm viabaility | 27 |
| | | |
| СН | APTER 5: DISCUSSION | 30 |
| 5.1 | Sperm density | 30 |
| 5.2 | Suitability of sperm density in cryopreservation | 31 |
| 5.3 | Potential and effectiveness of glycerol, DMSO and methanol as | |
| | cryoprotectants | 32 |
| 5.4 | Sperm viability assessment | 34 |

| CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION | | 36 |
|-----------------------|--|----|
| | | |
| REFERENCES | | 37 |
| APPENDICES | | 44 |
| CURICULUM VITAE | | 48 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Гable | | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 4.1 | Percentage of live sperm cells (%) for control and day 30 in cryoprotectants: glycerol, DMSO and methanol in the density of 10 ⁶ cells/ml and 10 ⁸ cells/ml. | 27 |
| 4.2 | Percentage mortality of sperm cell (%), mean \pm standard deviation (mean \pm sd) ($n = 3$) on day 30 in cryoprotectants: glycerol, DMSO and methanol in the density range from 10^6 cells/ml to 10^8 cells/ml. | 28 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Photographs of male S. olivacea: (a) dorsal; (b) frontal. | 7 |
| 2.2 | Two species of mud crabs, S. olivacea (a, c) and S. serrata (b, d). | 8 |
| 2.3 | Pictures of spermatophores with eosin-nigrosin stain, pink (dead) and unstained (live). Most of the spermatophores have a spherical shape, and each is covered by a well-defined thin capsule. | 10 |
| 2.4 | Spermatophores: (a) well-defined thin capsule; (b) spermatozoa of differing maturity. | 10 |
| 2.5 | Life cycle of a mud crab. | 12 |
| 2.6 | The abdominal flap of a juvenile female (a), mature female (b) and male mud crab (c). | 13 |
| 3.1 | Arrows show the vas deferens which containing seminal plasma and vesiculate spermatophores. | 20 |
| 3.2 | (a) Cryovials arranged on cryocane, (b) Cryocane been put vertically into the liquid nitrogen storage container and (c) Liquid nitrogen storage container. | 23 |
| 4.1 | Arrows show the spherical shape sperm cells under the microscope with the magnification of 400x. | 25 |
| 4.2 | Sperm mortality, Arcsine transformation mean \pm standard deviation (mean \pm sd) ($n=3$) on day 30 in cryoprotectants: glycerol, DMSO and methanol in the density of 10^6 cells/ml and 10^8 cells/ml. | 29 |
| 5.1 | Spermatophore observed while counting for the sperm viability on day 30. | 31 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BW - Body weight

Ca-F saline - Calcium-free saline

CW - Carapace width

cm - Centimeter

Cells/ml Cells per mililiter

°C Degree celcius

°C min⁻¹ Degree celcius per minute

DMSO - Dimethyl sulfoxide

g - Gram

kg - Kilogram

LN - Liquid nitrogen

L - Liter

mL - Mililiter

μL - Micro liter

M Molarity

min - Minute

M - Molarity

% Percentage

rpm - Revolutions per minute

v/v - Volume to volume

NaCl - Sodium Chloride

KCl - Potassium Chloride

H₃BO₃ Boric Acid

NaOH - Sodium Hydroxide

MgSO₄.7H₂O - Magnesium Sulphate

HCl - Hydrochloric Acid

1N 1 Normality

Ppt - Part per thousand

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendices | | Page |
|------------|---|------|
| Appendix 1 | Actual density of sperm count for control and day 30. | 44 |
| Appendix 2 | Percentage of live sperm cell (%) in three different cryoprotectants and three different density. | 45 |
| Appendix 3 | Percentage different of live sperm cell (%) between control and day 30 for three different cryoprotectants and three different density. | 45 |
| Appendix 4 | Sperm cells mortality in arcsine transformation, mean \pm standard deviation (mean \pm sd) ($n=3$) on day 30 in cryoprotectants: glycerol, DMSO and methanol in the density of 10^6 cells/ml and 10^8 cells/ml. | 46 |
| Appendix 5 | One- Way ANOVA for density 10 ⁶ cells/ml. | 46 |
| Appendix 6 | One- Way ANOVA for density 10 ⁸ cells/ml. | 47 |
| Appendix 7 | Two- Way ANOVA between three different cryoprotectants and density | 47 |

ABSTRACT

The objectives of this study were to determine the effect of cryoprotectants and sperm density for a long-term storage of *S. olivacea* spermatozoa. Spermatozoa were obtained by homogenized the spermatophores by a glass homogenizer in an ice-bath and centrifugation at 4° C. Spermatozoa were suspended in calcium-free saline (Ca-F saline) containing the cryoprotectants glycerol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and methanol at concentration of 5%. Sperm which were vibrated and rotated was counted as live in sperm viability assessment. Samples of spermatozoa were cooled to -196° C by two-step freezing, first to -80° C and then by plunging in liquid nitrogen (LN). Gradual cooling (1° C min⁻¹) was done by cooling the spermatozoa. Thawing was done at 30° C water bath for 2 min. This yielded live sperm after storage in LN for 30 days. The best sperm viability was obtained from density of 10^{8} cells per ml in DMSO. There is no significant different (P > 0.05) between cryoprotectant toward sperm viability. However, there is a significant different (P < 0.05) between density toward the sperm viability.

KESAN ANTARA KRIOPROTEKTAN YANG BERBEZA DI DALAM KRYOAWETAN SPERMA KETAM NIPAH, Scylla olivacea (HERBST, 1796)

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui pengaruh kryoprotektan dan kepadatan sperma untuk simpanan jangka panjang sperma S. olivacea. Sperma diperolehi dengan menghomogenize spermatophore dengan menggunakan homogenizer kaca di dalam 'ice-bath' dan sentrifugasi pada 4° C. Sperma disimpan di dalam saline yang tidak mempunyai calcium (Ca- F saline) dan mengandunig kryoprotektan gliserol, dimetil sulfoksida (DMSO) dan metanol pada kepekatan 5%. Sperma yang bergetar and berputar dicatat sebagai sperma hidup untuk penilaian viabilitas sperma. Sampel sperma didinginkan sehingga -196° C dengan pembekuan dua langkah, pertama didinginkan sehingga -80° C dan kemudian dicelupkan ke dalam cecair nitrogen. Pendinginan sperma secara berperingkat (1° C min⁻¹) telah dilakukan. Pencairan dilakukan pada 30 ° C dengan mengunakan 'water bath' selama 2 minit. Ini membolehkan perolehan sperma hidup setelah disimpan selama 30 hari dalam cecair nitrogen. Viabilitas sperma yang terbaik diperoleh daripada kepadatan 10⁸ sel/ml di dalam DMSO. Tiada perbezaan yang nyata (P > 0.05) di antara kryoprotektan terhadap viability sperma. Walaubagaimanapun, terdapat perbezaan yang nyata (P < 0.05) antara kepadatan sperma terhadap viabilitas sperma.